



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**MCRC No. 4929 of 2026**

Ravicharan Bais S/o Ramvriksh Bais Aged About 53 Years R/o Village-Tugwa, Police Chowki- Balgi, Police Station - Raghunathnagar, District-Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... Applicant**versus**

The State Of Chhattisgarh Through - The Station House Officer, Police Station- Raghunathnagar, District- Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... Respondent

For Applicant	:	Shri Punit Ruparel, Advocate.
For	:	Shri Shaleen Singh Baghel, G.A.
Respondent/State	:	
For objector	:	Shri Roop Ram Naik, Advocate.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****16/06/2026**

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.43/2026 registered at Police Station Raghunathnagar, District Balrampur - Ramanujganj (C.G.) for the offence punishable under



Sections 336, 337 & 64(2)(n) of Bharatiya Nayaya Sanhita, 2023 & section 4 & 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that Crime No. 43/2026 was registered on 29.04.2026 for offences under Sections 64(2)(n), 336 and 337 of the BNS, 2023 and Sections 4 and 6 of the POCSO Act. The allegation against the applicant, who was arrested on 01.05.2026, is that while serving as Secretary of the Gram Panchayat, he, along with the co-accused Ramlalan and the Sarpanch, facilitated issuance of a fabricated ration card in the name of the victim. The applicant's bail application was rejected by the court below on 08.05.2026, whereafter he has preferred the present first regular bail application before this Court.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. He would submit that main allegation is against one Ram Lallan who have taken 10th mark sheet of the victim and has stated that unless the victim establishes physical relationship he will not return the same and so far as the applicant is concerned he is the Secretary of the village. He would submit that the applicant is in jail since 01/05/2026 and conclusion of trial will take some time, therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the applicant.
4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and he would submit that charge sheet has not been filed in this case. He would submit that main allegation is against one Ram Lallan who have taken 10th mark sheet of the victim and has stated that unless the victim establishes physical relationship he will not



return the same.

5. Learned counsel for the objector opposes the prayer for grant of bail.
6. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.
7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the parties, materials available on record, nature of allegation levelled against the applicant, period of detention of the applicant since 01/05/2026, case of the applicant is distinguishable from the case of the co-accused Ram Lallan and also considering the fact that trial is likely to take some time for its conclusion, therefore this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case.
8. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed and it is directed that the Applicant- **Ravicharan Bais**, involved in Crime No.43/2026 registered at Police Station Raghunathnagar, District Balrampur - Ramanujganj (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 336, 337 & 64(2)(n) of Bharatiya Nayaya Sanhita, 2023 & section 4 & 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-
 - (i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.
 - (ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on



each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court for necessary information and compliance.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE