



2026:CGHC:13699

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

WPS No. 4430 of 2021Daulat Ram Dewangan **Versus** State Of Chhattisgarh,

25.08.2021	Mr. Tanuj Patwardhan, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Saurabh Kumar Pande, counsel for respondent no.4. Mr. Ayaz Naved, GA for the State. Contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner belongs to a category of disabled persons and suffers from Locomotor disability with 60% of disability. According to the petitioner in the selection process for the post of Assistant Teacher (Laboratory) the petitioner had appeared and his name found place in the select list at the first instance and he was called upon for document verification on a particular date which however could not materialize because of imposition of lockdown due to Covid-19 Pandemic. Thereafter, the respondents surprisingly have now issued a fresh select list leaving out the petitioner which has led to the filing of the petition. According to the counsel for the petitioner, he has instructions to state that in the subsequent select list in the category to which the petitioner belongs there are candidates who have scored less than the petitioner whose names are reflected in the select list and in spite of best efforts, he could not get the information from the respondents so far as marks scored by these persons. State counsel today submits that from whatever instructions he has received he has been made to believe that there is no candidate
-------------------	--



who has scored less than the petitioner for the category to which petitioner belongs that is the category of persons with locomotor disability, where the total number of seats reserved are 2%.

Learned State counsel further submits that perhaps the petitioner is comparing himself to the candidates from the physically disabled category who falls in a different category altogether that is with candidates in the category of Visual Impairment and Hearing Impairment, both these categories have separate reservation of 2% each, the petitioner who is in the category of locomotor disability cannot compare himself with a person with disability of a different category.

However, learned State counsel prays that the matter be taken up in the next week so that he could get further proper instructions in this regard and a statement can be made in this regard.

Given the limited dispute that now remains that is to only ascertain whether in the category to which the petitioner belongs, whether any candidate which has scored less than the petitioner finds place in the select list or not?

State counsel is directed to seek instructions in this regard.

Accordingly, let this matter now be listed for further hearing on 07.09.2021 in list-1.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE