



2026:CGHC:23388



2026:CGHC:23388

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 2608 of 2026**

- 1 - Daulat Ram S/o Bhakadu Aged About 71 Years Resident Of Village Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)**
- 2 - Rama Dhiwar S/o Bishan Dhiwar Aged About 50 Years Resident Of Village Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)**
- 3 - Ramvishal Gendre S/o Sant Ram Gendre Aged About 52 Years Resident Of Village Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)**
- 4 - Raju Lal Pal S/o Late Ram Kumar Pal Aged About 39 Years Resident Of Village Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)**
- 5 - Gayatri Bai W/o Ram Pratap Aged About 60 Years Resident Of Village Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)**
- 6 - Lata Bhardwaj W/o Raghunath Bhardwaj Aged About 45 Years Resident Of Village Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)**
- 7 - Baldau S/o Bhakadu Aged About 70 Years Resident Of Village Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)**
- 8 - Paremu Pal S/o Kejau Aged About 65 Years Resident Of Village Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)**
- 9 - Daulal S/o Shyam Lal Aged About 58 Years Resident Of Village Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)**



- 10** - Omu S/o Chhedilal Aged About 65 Years Resident Of Village Manth
Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)
- 11** - Sangita Padmani S/o Late Youraj Purushottam Aged About 35 Years
Resident Of Village Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)
- 12** - Mohni Verma W/o Ravi Kumar Aged About 40 Years Resident Of Village
Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)
- 13** - Manrakhan S/o Sukul Aged About 70 Years Resident Of Village Manth
Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)
- 14** - Pradeep Prasad Verma S/o Bhagavat Verma Aged About 36 Years
Resident Of Village Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)
- 15** - Janardan Singh Verma S/o Late Khubirram Verma Aged About 60 Years
Resident Of Village Manth Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)
- 16** - Suklal Yadav S/o Firtu Yadav Aged About 75 Years Resident Of Village
Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)
- 17** - Sukhabati W/o Kheduram Aged About 65 Years Resident Of Village
Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)
- 18** - Nandu Ram S/o Sewaram Aged About 55 Years Resident Of Village
Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)
- 19** - Netrajan S/o Mannulal Aged About 78 Years Resident Of Village Kharora
Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)
- 20** - Samay Lal S/o Shyam Lal Aged About 45 Years Resident Of Village
Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)
- 21** - Bayshakhu S/o Ramu Aged About 82 Years Resident Of Village Kharora
Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)
- 22** - Khedan Yadu S/o Bayshakhu Aged About 75 Years Resident Of Village
Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)



23 - Dipendra Dewangan S/o Jeevan Lal Aged About 40 Years Resident Of Village Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

24 - Harishchand S/o Ganesh Ram Aged About 70 Years Resident Of Village Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

25 - Shivam Vaishnav S/o Manrakhan Aged About 25 Years Resident Of Village Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

26 - Durgesh S/o Basant Dewangan Aged About 26 Years Resident Of Village Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

27 - Ajay Dewangan S/o Hiralal Dewangan Aged About 38 Years Resident Of Village Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

28 - Hatoi Ram Nashine S/o Dulali Ram Nashine Aged About 65 Years Resident Of Village Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

29 - Kripa Ram S/o Nilam Dewangan Aged About 55 Years Resident Of Village Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

... Petitioners

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Revenue Chhattisgarh Government Mahanadi Bhawan Naya Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

2 - Sub- Divisional Officer (Revenue) Kharora District- Raipur (C.G.)

3 - C.G. State Power Transmission Company Limited (CSPTCL) Vidyut Seva Bhavan Daganiya Raipur (C.G.)

4 - Sarda Energy And Minerals Limited Village- Kharora Tahsil- Kharora Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioners : Mr. Rakesh Dubey, Advocate

For Respondents-State : Mr. Suyashdhar Badgaiya, Dy. G.A.

For Respondent No. 3 : Mr. Mayank Chandrakar, Advocate

**SB: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge****ORDER ON BOARD****19.05.2026**

1. The petitioners has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:-

"A. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly a writ in the nature of mandamus, commanding the respondents to consider the objections of the petitioner strictly adhere to the principle of "least damage" under Section 10 (d) of the Telegraph Act, and must consider feasible alternative alignments, in the interest of justice.

B. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing respondent No. 2 to consider and adjudicate upon the objections submitted by the petitioners by passing a reasoned and speaking order, within a time frame as may be deemed fit by this Hon'ble Court, in accordance with law;

C. Please to reject the existing survey and issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing the respondents to undertake a fresh survey of the petitioners' land strictly in accordance with law, ensuring due transparency and objectivity and pending such exercise restrain the respondents, their agents or anyone acting on their behalf from entering upon or utilizing the petitioners' land in any manner whatsoever;

D. Pass such other and further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present



case, in the interest of justice, equity and good conscience.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance of the petitioners is of laying down the transmission line in contravention of the earlier plan. Petitioners have submitted a representation before the respondent No. 2 and he has not taken into consideration the grievance raised and have not passed any orders, therefore, this writ petition is filed.
3. Learned counsel for the respective respondents would oppose the submission and would submit that if for any reason, the petitioners are having the grievances against the laying down of the transmission line and erection of the towers, in contravention of the earlier plan, the petitioners could have raised an objection before the District Magistrate, Raipur. However, no such representation/objection is enclosed along with the writ petition.
4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that he may be permitted to submit representation/objection before the District Magistrate, Raipur raising all the grounds as raised in this writ petition.
5. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the respective parties, this writ petition at this stage is disposed of permitting the petitioners to submit representation/objection before the District Magistrate, Raipur and if such a representation is submitted, the concerned authority shall consider and take decision on the representation/objection to be submitted by the petitioners, in accordance with law, expeditiously thereafter.



2026:CGHC:23388

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ petition stands
disposed of.

7. Accordingly, I.A. No.01/2026, which is an application for urgent hearing and I.A. No. 02/2026, which is an application for hearing the case during summer vacation are disposed of.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

AMIT PATEL