

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

WPPIL No. 37 of 2017

Kamal Kumar Dubey **Versus** Union of India

WPPIL/67/2017

19/03/2024	Shri Ashish Shrivastava, Sr. Advocate with Shri Rohisek Verma and Shri Aman Memon, Adv. for the petitioner in WP PIL No.37 of 2017. Shri Manas Vajpai, Adv. for the petitioner in WP PIL No.67 of 2017. Shri Ramakant Mishra, Dy. Solicitor General with Shri Tushar Dhar Diwan, Adv. for the UOI. Shri R.K. Gupta, Additional Advocate General for the State. Shri Anumeh Shrivastava, Advocate for the Airport Authority of India. Shri Shobhit Koshta, Advocate for Respondent No.8. Shri Shobhit Mishra, Advocate for Indigo Limited. Heard. At the outset we have been apprised with the fact that the direct flights from Bilaspur to New Delhi and Bilaspur to Kolkata have been inaugurated. All the parties who are present before the Court i.e. the petitioner as also the respondent authorities including the work done by the Government needs to be appreciated at first. We hope the

same would continue further.

Learned Additional Advocate General would submit that a bi-partite agreement has been entered in between the State and the airlines. He would further submit that the flight schedule would be published in all probability by 22nd of March, 2024.

Shri Shobhit Koshta, learned counsel appearing for the IndiGo Air Lines would submit that the company is also contemplating to start the flight from Bilaspur to other cities too.

By the next date whatever the progress has taken place may be placed before this Court.

In respect of the boundary wall, learned senior counsel for the petitioner strongly states that as per the instructions received by few of the people who visited the spot, the boundary wall has not been completed and reference is made to order dated 13/02/2024 wherein the undertaking was given that the boundary wall would be completed by 15/03/2024. It is been stated that because of certain dispute between two Executive Engineers of the Public Works Department the work is being delayed.

On the contrary, learned Additional Advocate General, as per the instructions received, would submit that the boundary wall has been completed in entirety and the circular fencing is in progress

along with painting.

Since the disputed facts have come to fore as to completion of the boundary wall, we deem it appropriate to ascertain the factual scenario by inspection of the spot.

Under the circumstances, Senior Advocates Shri Y.C. Sharma and Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, who are present before the Court, are requested to visit the spot with the assistance of juniors and apprise us on the next date of hearing as to what is the factual scenario and the photographs may also be obtained as of today.

The Officers of the PWD shall also accompany with the team of Senior Advocates during their visit.

The State shall provide all the logical & logistic support to the learned senior advocates who would be acting as Commissioners on behalf of the Court.

Let a copy of this order be supplied to the learned Senior Advocates.

List the cases on 1st of April, 2024.

SD/-

(Goutam Bhaduri)
Judge

SD/-

(Radhakishan Agrawal)
Judge

Ashu