



2026:CGHC:13394

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3765 of 2020

1 - Yuvraj Singh Sahu S/o Shri Kumar Singh Sahu Aged About 32 Years R/o - Dalli Road, Near Kharkhara Nahar, Balod, District Balod (Chhattisgarh), District : Balod, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Cooperative Societies, Ministry, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, P.O. And P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - Registrar Cooperative Societies, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - Joint Registrar Cooperative Societies Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh), District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

4 - Commissioner (Revenue) Bilaspur Division Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh), District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

5 - Chief Executive Officer, Zila Sahakari Kendriya Bank Maryadit, Bilaspur, Nehru Chowk, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh), District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

6 - Chairman / Board Of Directors, Zila Sahakarikendriya Bank Maryadit, Bilaspur, Nehru Chowk, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh), District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

7 - Collector-Cum-Authorized Officer, Zila Sahakari Kendriya Bank Maryadit, Bilaspur, Nehru Chowk, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh), District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Mateen Siddiqui, Advocate
 For Respondent(s) No. :Mr. Pranjal Shukla, Panel Lawyer

1 to 4/State

For Respondent No. 5 :Mr. Jitendra Shrivastava, Advocate

SB - Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

20.03.2026

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the parties submit that the issue involved in this writ petition was considered and decided by the Single Bench of this Court in WPS/3346/2020 (*Pankaj Kumar Tiwari v. State of Chhattisgarh and Others*) vide order dated 12/03/2025, however, in the writ appeal preferred by the Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank Maryadit being WA/307/2025, the Division Bench of this Court, vide judgment dated 08/05/2025, partly allowed the order passed by learned

Single Judge in WPS/3346/2020 and set aside the order to the extent of the direction to reinstate the services of the petitioner without backwages. Thereafter, against the judgment dated 08/05/2025 passed by the Division Bench of this Court in WA/307/2025, the petitioner therein preferred an SLP bearing No. 30077/2025, however, the same stood dismissed by the Supreme Court, as such, the judgment passed by the Division Bench of this Court in WA/307/2025 has become final.

- 2.** At this stage, it would be appropriate to notice the concluding paragraphs of judgment dated 08/05/2025 passed by this Court in WA/307/2025, which states as under :-

“15. For the foregoing reasons, the writ appeal is partly allowed and the impugned order dated 12.03.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge so far as it relates to the direction to respondent authorities to reinstate the services of the petitioner without back wages is concerned, the same is set aside.

16. Further considering the fact that writ petitioner / respondent No. 1 and other similarly situated writ petitioner, who are 29 in number, are not in service since, 2015, we direct the appellants herein/respondent Nos. 6 & 7 therein to conclude the fresh proceedings, as has been ordered by the learned Single Judge, as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.”

- 3.** In that view of the matter, this writ petition stands disposed of in terms of paragraph 16 of judgment dated

08/05/2025 passed by this Court in WA/307/2025, as reproduced herein-above.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

Harneet