



2026:CGHC:24068

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 4439 of 2026

Khan Waquaruzzaman Khan S/o Fasihuzzaman Khan Aged About 46 Years R/o- H. No. 15, Navatoli Post - Jashpur Ward No. 2, Jashpur Nagar District - Jashpur (C.G.) Currently Working As Lecturer (L. B.) T Cadre Government Model Higher Secondary School Jashpurnagar District - Jashpur (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Under Secretary, School Education Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District - Raipur (C.G.)
2. Director Directorate Of Public Instruction, Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District - Raipur (C.G.)
3. Collector Jashpur District- Jashpur (C.G.)
4. District Education Officer Jashpur District - Jashpur (C.G.)
5. Principal Government Higher Secondary School Jashpur District - Jashpur (C.G.)
6. Narendra Kumar Maravi Presently Posted As Principal At Government Higher Secondary School (S A G E S), Nonbirra, Pali District - Korba (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioners	: Mr. Krishna Tandon, Advocate
For Respondents/ State	: Mr. Y.S. Thakur, Addl. A.G. along with Ms. Sakshi Bajpai, P.L.

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE BIBHU DATTA GURU)

Order on Board

16/05/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed for following reliefs:-

10.1 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to conduct review DPC and the petitioner may be considered for post of Principal as per seniority cum gradation list dated 25/11/2024.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to take action on their letter dated 18/08/2025.

10.3 Any other writ or writs, direction or directions which the Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, may also be passed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's representation seeking consideration for review DPC has already been considered by the Directorate of Public Instructions (DPI), as is evident from Annexure P-1 (page 10). However, the decision taken thereon has not yet been communicated to the petitioner.

3. Learned Additional Advocate General, on instructions, submits that from the list of applicants who had submitted representations relating to promotion, the name of the petitioner appears at Serial No. 79. He further submits that if the petitioner approaches the

office of the DPI, the decision taken on his representation shall be communicated to him within a period of three weeks.

4. In view of the aforesaid submission made by learned State counsel and without entering into the merits of the claim of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Director, Public Instructions (DPI), to communicate/intimate to the petitioner the decision taken on his representation, as reflected in Annexure P-1, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
5. With the aforesaid direction, the present petition stands **disposed of**.

Sd/-
(BIBHU DATTA GURU)
JUDGE

Jyoti