



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 3208 of 2021

SURESH SIDARA *versus* STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Order Sheet

13/04/2026	Mr. Ratnesh Kumar Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. D.R. Minj, Dy. A.G. for the State. Mr. Sourabh Sharma, counsel for respondents No. 4 to 7. Learned counsel for respondents No. 4 to 7 raises a specific objection with regard to the maintainability of the present petition, contending that against the order passed in revision, an alternative remedy of review lies before the competent authority, which has not been availed by the petitioner, who has instead directly approached this Hon'ble Court. It is

further argued that the original order passed by the Tahsildar was an appealable order; however, instead of preferring a statutory appeal, the petitioner chose to file a revision petition before the Additional Collector, and consequently, the order passed by the Additional Collector suffers from legal infirmity and is not in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the remedy of a second revision is expressly barred under Section 50 of the Land Revenue Code, and therefore, the petitioner has rightly approached this Hon'ble Court by way of the present petition. It is further contended that the demarcation proceedings culminate in an administrative order in nature, and as such, the same does not give rise to a statutory right of appeal; consequently, no appeal lies against such an order, thereby justifying the invocation of the writ jurisdiction of this Court.

In view of above, the parties are directed to prepare and to argue the matter.

Accordingly, list this case in the week
commencing 4th May, 2026.

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Raghu Jat