



2026:CGHC:4164

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 3905 of 2023**

Shashikant Varma S/o Shri Maniram Verma Aged About 44 Years R/o Vishwakarma Mohalla, Behind Old Gramin Bank, Pendra, District Gourella-Pendra-Marwahi (C.G.) Category Obc.

... Petitioner.**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Tribal Welfare, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar.

2 - Assistant Commissioner Tribal Development, District Gourella-Pendra-Marwahi (C.G.).

3 - Collector District Gourella-Pendra-Marwahi (C.G.).

... Respondents.

For Petitioner	:	Shri Shalvik Tiwari, Advocate.
For State/Respondent	:	Shri Sangharsh Pandey, GA.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad**Order on Board****23/01/2026**

1. Petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking following reliefs:-

10.1. The Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to issue appropriate writ or order directing the respondent no. 2 & 3 to issue corrigendum in respect of advertisement dated 19.05.2023 (ANNEXURE P/2) for bringing in qualification of Hindi typing from any



recognized board/institution in accordance with the Chhattisgarh Schedule tribe and schedule caste development department, sub-ordinate (Class-III ministerial) service recruitment rules, 2013 and circular dated 03.02.2022 (ANNEXURE P/4) and thereafter proceed with the appointment to the post of Assistant grade -III as per advertisement dated 19/05/2023.

10.2. The Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to direct the Respondent no. 2 and 3 to restrain any person not having required minimum qualification as per rules of 2013 from participating in the recruitment process of Assistant Grade -III pursuant to advertisement dated 19.05.2023 (ANNEXURE P/2).

10.3. Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper be awarded in favor of the petitioner, including cost.

2. At the outset, learned State counsel would submit that the original advertisement has already been cancelled and as such, no cause of action arises for the petitioner to file this Petition and to seek any relief.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record available in the petition.
4. Since the original advertisement dated 11.05.2023 itself has been cancelled by the respondent authorities, there would be no question of challenging the corrigendum in respect of original advertisement.
5. Accordingly, nothing survives for adjudication in this petition.
6. As a result, the Writ Petition is dismissed being infructuous.

Sd/-

**(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge**