

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

SA No. 238 of 2013Sanjay Kumar Agrawal **Versus** (deleted) Sukhram

14.11.2022	Mr. H.B. Agrawal, Senior Advocate along with Ms. Richa Dwivedi, counsel for the appellant/s. Mr. R.S. Patel, counsel for respondents No.3 to 7. Mr. Ravipal Maheshwari, P.L. for the State. Heard on admission. Perused the judgments of both the Courts below and gone through the evidence adduced by both the parties before the trial Court. On due consideration, the appeal is admitted for final hearing on the following substantial questions of law:- “A. Whether the findings of both the Courts below with regard to possession over the suit land are perverse?” B. Whether the judgment and decree of both the Courts below are perverse on the facts and circumstances of the case?” Also heard on application (I.A. No.1) under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 of CPC.

Issue notice to respondents No.1 & 2.

P.F. be paid as per rules.

Learned counsel for respondents No.3 to 7 prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply.

In the meantime, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that till the next date of hearing, both the parties shall maintain the status quo of the suit property as it exists today.

List the matter after four weeks.

Sd/-

(Arvind Singh Chandel)
Judge