



**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**SA No. 616 of 2017**

Trilok Singh Viridi **versus** Shri Ram Chandra Swami Nagridas Mandir

**Order Sheet**

<b>10/04/2026</b>	<p>Mr. Amrito Das, learned counsel for the appellant.</p> <p>Heard on I.A. No. 3/2023, which is an application under Order 22 Rule 3 of the CPC, I.A. 4/2023, which is an application under Order 22 Rule 9 of the CPC and I.A. No.5/2023, which is the application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the appellant would submit that the appellant No.2 Hardeep Singh Viridi has expired on 04.06.2022 and he filed the application for substitution of his legal representative in the present appeal.</p> <p>On due consideration, I.A. No. 5/2023 is allowed and delay in filing the setting aside the abatement application is condoned, I.A. No. 4/2023 is also allowed and the abatement of the appeal is set aside, consequently, the I.A. No. 3/2023 is also allowed.</p>

Learned counsel for the appellant is permitted to carry out the necessary substitution in place of the appellant No.2 within seven days from today.

List the case thereafter.

Sd/-  
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)  
**Judge**