



2026:CGHC:23977

1



2026:CGHC:23977

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

MCRC No. 4741 of 2026

Anoop Chandrakar S/o Late Mohanlal Chandrakar, Aged About 36 Years
R/o Ward No. 02, Bharregaon, P.S. Surgi, Thana Basantpur, District-
Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station In Charge P.S. Dongargaon,
District- Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

---- Respondent

(Cause title is taken from the CIS)

For Applicant : Mr. Mahesh Mishra, Advocate

For State-Respondent : Mr. Afroz Khan, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice Radhakishan Agrawal

Order on Board

16/06/2026

1. This is the **second** bail application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant. The first bail application was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated



15.12.2025 in MCRC No.9371 of 2025. The applicant has been arrested in connection with Crime No.154/2025 registered at Police Station Dongargaon, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 138, 140(2), 140(3), 87, 296, 115(2), 351(2), 3(5) of BNS.

2. The prosecution story as portrayed in brief is that on 16.05.2025, mother of the prosecutrix has made a written complaint in the PS Dongargaon, wherein it stated that her daughter aged about 27 years who is posed as Principal in a school, was going from her house to school situated in village Kumarda on her scooty. On the way some persons came and forcefully made her sit in a four wheeler and took her away. Further it is alleged in the complaint that applicant along with two co-accused force her to get marry with the present applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant contended that the applicant is an innocent and has been falsely implicated in the said case. He further contended that the prosecutrix has admitted in her cross-examination that the applicant used to call her on mobile. Further she admitted that they used to chat on whatsapp messenger application. He further contended that the applicant is in jail since 18.05.2025 and the trial will take time for its conclusion, therefore, the applicant may be released on bail.



4. Learned State Counsel opposes the submission made by learned counsel for the applicant and contended that looking to the nature of offence, the applicant may not be released on bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the facts of the case, submission made by learned counsel for the parties. From the bare perusal of the case diary, it is revealed that, sufficient grounds are being shown by the prosecution that the accused/applicant has committed the aforesaid offence. Looking to the nature and gravity of the offence, granting bail to the accused/applicant does not appear to be justified.
7. Accordingly, the bail application of the applicant stands **rejected**.
8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(Radhakishan Agrawal)
Judge