HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3902 of 2025

1 - Gayatri Sahu D/o Shri Manohar Lal Sahu Aged About 34 Years Posted As Training Officer (Electronics) At Government Iti, Saddu Raipur, District Raipur R/o Vill. Bendri P.S. Abhanpur, Dist. Raipur (C.G)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Manpower Planning, Mantralaya At Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar District- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Director Directorate Of Employment And Training, Indrawati Bhawan Block 4 First Floor, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar District- Raipur (C.G.)

3 - Joint Director (Trainee) Directorate Of Employment And Training, Indrawati Bhawan Block 4 First Floor, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar District- Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

Order Sheet

27/05/2025	Mr. Tanmay Thomas, Advocate along with Mr. Sudhanshu Upadhyay, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Akhilesh Kumar, G.A. for the State. Issue notice to the respondents. Mr. Akhilesh Kumar accepts notice on behalf of respondents/State. Hence, PF is not required to be paid for issuance of notice to the respondents/State. Learned counsel for the State prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply. Heard on I.A. No. 01/2025, which is an application for grant of interim relief/stay. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that initially the action taken against the petitioner was subject matter of challenge in the writ petition which was decided in the batch of writ petition bearing WPS No. 6033/2021 and others writ petitions were allowed. The order passed in the writ petition was subject matter of challenging the Writ Appeal by the State which came to be dismissed and thereafter Special Leave Petition

Digitally signed by RAGHVENDRA JAT

	(SLP) filed by the State before Hon'ble Apex Court also came to be dismissed. Thereafter, the respondents have issued charge sheet, however, from the perusal of the contents of the charge no misconduct of the petitioner is appearing and some of the similarly situated employees have also filed the writ petition against the same grounds in WPS No. 3070/2025 and other connected matters which came up for hearing on 09.05.2025. The writ Court considering the grounds raised in the writ petition has passed interim order in favour of those petitioners, hence similar interim order may be passed in this petition also. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State do not dispute the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner with regard to the interim order dated 09.05.2025 passed in WPS No. 3070/2025 and other connected matters. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that further proceeding pursuant to the

	charge sheet dated 24.03.2025 shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing. List this case along with WPS No. 3070/2025. I.A. No. 02/2025, which is an application for hearing during summer vacation and I.A. No. 03/2025, which is an application for urgent hearing stand disposed of. Sd/- **(Parth Prateem Sahu)** **Judge**

Raghu Jat