



2026:CGHC:23945



2026:CGHC:23945

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 2600 of 2026**

1 - Shivakant Patil S/o. Shri Ashok Kumar Patil Aged About 36 Years At Present In-Charge Society Manager Seva Sahkari Samiti Maryadit Kevtara R.N. No. 364, Paddy Procurement Center Kevtara Add.- Village Saja, Post- Saja, Tehsil Saja, Dist.- Bemetara (C.G.).

... Petitioner(s)**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Co-Operative, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.).

2 - The Secretary Department Of Food And Civil Suppliers Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Raipur Dist.- Raipur (C.G.).

3 - The Manager Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited Office At 6th Floor Tower-C Commercial Complex C.B.D. Sector 21 Atal Nagar District- Raipur (C.G.).

4 - The Collector Dist.- Bemetara (C.G.).

5 - The District Marketing Officer District- Bemetara (C.G.).



6 - The Chief Executive Officer Cooperative Central Bank Maryadit
Dhamtari District- Durg (C.G.).

7 - The Deputy Registrar Cooperative Society Dist.- Bemetara (C.G.).

8 - The District Food Officer District- Bemetara (C.G.).

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Nitesh Sahu, Advocate.
For Respondent(s)/State	:	Mr. S. S. Choubey, G.A.
For Respondent No. 3	:	Ms. Astha Shukla, Advocate.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

16/06/2026

1. By way of this petition, the petitioner has prayed for following
reliefs:-

*"10.1. That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to
call for the records pertaining to impugned action of the
respondents for the kind perusal of the Hon'ble Court.*

*10.2. That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to
direct the respondents to give also the protection of
shortage due to dryness as*

*earlier provided as well as same to be protection granted
to the millars as in the order dated 15/05/2024 (P/6).*

*10.3 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to to
direct the respondent No.3 to provide excess amount
which has been invest to protect the paddy after 31st*



March to till date and zero shortage incentive (Protsahan)

Amount.

10.4 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to to direct the respondent No.3 to 7 to stop harass the petitioner by making pressure through police to fill Zero shortage from own-cost.

10.5 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to to direct the respondent No.3 to 7 to make an enquiry and about the shortage is due to dry and find the liable person for punishment.

10.6. Any other relief which the Hon'ble Court may deem fit, in the interest of justice.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit he has already replied to the notice issued to them. However, the dispute has not been decided by the authorities concerned till date, and as such, the authorities may be directed to consider the said replies of the petitioner and to pass an appropriate order on merits of the case and till then, the said authority may be directed not to take any coercive steps against the petitioner society.
3. On the other hand, learned advocates appearing for the respective respondents oppose the submissions made by learned advocate for the petitioners.
4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents placed on record with utmost circumspection.



5. Considering the limited prayer made in these petitions by the petitioners society and further considering the fact that the replies have already been filed by the petitioners against the notice issued to them, this Court is of the opinion that the respondent authorities are under an obligation to decide the dispute strictly in accordance with the law. However, till the decision of the said dispute, the respondent authorities are directed not to take any coercive steps against the petitioners.
6. The authorities concerned would be at liberty to pass an appropriate orders on the merits of the case after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. The authorities concerned are directed to decide the said dispute within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
7. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observation on the merits of the case.
8. With the aforesaid observation/direction, this petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge**