



2026:CGHC:13833



2026:CGHC:13833

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 3083 of 2021

Teras Ram Yadav S/o Late Horilal Yadav Aged About 58 Years
Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Pamgarh, R/o Pamgarh, Tehsil Pamgarh,
District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

... **Petitioner**

versus

1 - State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department of
Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan,
Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - The Assistant Commissioner Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

3 - The Collector District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

4 - Sub Divisional Officer Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa
Chhattisgarh

5 - Suryakant Keshi S/o Shri Naresh Keshi Aged About 29 Years R/o
Village Mehendi, Tehsil Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

6 - Virendra Jangde S/o Shri Ruplal Jangde Aged About 35 Years R/o
Village Mahka, Tehsil Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

... **Respondents**

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. R.L. Rajak, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Atul Kumar Kesharwani, Advocate
For State/Respondents No.1 to 4	:	Mr. Ujjawal Choubey, Panel Lawyer
For Respondent No.6	:	Ms. Neha Khandekar, Advocate
For Intervenor	:	Mr. Brajendra Singh, Advocate



Hon'ble Shri Amitendra Kishore Prasad, Judge
Order on Board

23.03.2026

1. By filing the present petition, the petitioner has prayed for following relief(s):-

“10.1 To call for the entire record of the present case.

10.2 To issue the writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ setting aside the impugned order dated 24.07.2021 by holding the same to be illegal and without jurisdiction, in the interest of justice.

10.3 To pass any other order as it may deem fit, in the interest of justice.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the relief sought in the present writ petition has become infructuous due to the efflux of time. It is contended that the circumstances which formed the basis of the petition no longer exist, and there is no longer any purpose or actionable controversy that requires adjudication by this Court as vide order dated 24.07.2021, interim stay order has been passed which is now redundant in the facts and circumstances of this case.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has no objection to the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner and concurs that the petition has lost its relevance in view of the changed circumstances.



4. Considering the submissions made by both parties and the fact that the original cause of action has ceased to exist, this Court is satisfied that there is no justiciable issue remaining for determination.
5. In view of the above, the instant writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Yogesh