



2026:CGHC:3322

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

WPS No. 4170 of 2019

- Smt. Jacienta Toppo W/o Shri Norbet Lakra, Aged About 60 Years Working As Project Officer, Integrated Child Development Project Lailunga District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.,

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development, Deapartment, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur Chhattisgarh.,
2. The Collector, Raigarh District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.,
3. District Program Officer Woman And Child Development, Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.,
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Lailunga, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.,
5. Shri Dhana Ram, Jatwar Block Education Officer, Lailunga District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.,
6. Shri S.N. Uppadhyay, Block Medical Officer, Lailunga District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.,
7. Shri L. N. Sidar, Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Lailunga District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.,

---- Respondents

1	
22.05.2019	Mr. Somkant Verma, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr. Saleem Kazi, Dy. Advocate General for the Respondent No. 1, 2 & 3 on advance copy. Heard on I.A. No. 1 and 2, applications filed for urgent hearing of this matter during Vaction. On due consideration and for the reasons mentioned therein, I am inclined to allow the same. These applications are accordingly allowed.



Heard on admission.

Issue notice to the Respondents on payment of P.F. as per rules.

Also heard on I.A. No. 03 of 2019, which is an application for grant of interim relief.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by virtue of the impugned order dated 17.05.2019 (Annexure P-1), the Petitioner has been suspended on the ground that she has given illegal appointments to the Anganwadi Karyakarta, however, the alleged appointment orders were not issued by the Petitioner and infact the same have been issued by the Chief Executive Officer as evidenced by order dated 02.08.2018 (Annexure P-3). He further submits that even in the Selection Committee, the Petitioner as a Project Officer, was a member along with others including the Chief Executive Officer. As such, the order impugned is apparently contrary to law.

Let notice be issued on payment of P.F. on this application also.

Meanwhile, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that the effect and operation of the impugned order dated 17.05.2019 (Annexure -1) passed by Respondent No. 1 shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing.

Post this matter for consideration on admission as well as I.A. No. 03 in the first week of July, 2019.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Agrawal)
VACATION JUDGE