



2026:CGHC:21583



2026:CGHC:21583

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPC No. 2316 of 2026**

1 - Umesh Kumar Prajapati S/o Shri Shivdayal Prajapati Aged About 41 Years R/o Ring Road Ward No. 01, Jalkeshwarpara Near Housing Board Colony - Ramanujganj, Distt.- Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.).

... Petitioner(s)**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through - The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.).

2 - The Secretary Department Of Public Work Department Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.).

3 - The Collector Balrampur, Distt.- Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.).

4 - The Sub-Divisional Officer (Revenue) Ramanujganj, Distt.- Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.).

5 - Tahsildar Ramanujganj, Distt.- Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.).

6 - Executive Engineer Public Work Department, Division-Ramanujganj, Distt.- Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.).

... Respondent(s)



For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. A.N. Pandey, Advocate.
For Respondent(s)/State	:	Mr. Soumitra Kesharwani, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

08/05/2026

1. By way of this petition, the petitioner has prayed for following reliefs:-

"10.1 That, this Hon'ble court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to pay the compensation of the subject land to the petitioner as per "The Right to Faire Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act 2013" with interest within stipulated period.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to consider and decided the representation filed by the petitioner ANNEXURE P/2 within stipulated period

10.3 That, any other relief or reliefs may also be granted to the petitioner which this Hon'ble court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case."

2. Brief facts of the case, is that, the petitioner is a resident of Ramanujganj, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.), and had purchased the land bearing Khasra No. 76/30, admeasuring total area 0.404 hectare, situated at Ramanujganj through a duly



registered sale deed in the year 2003, pursuant to which the name of the petitioner was duly recorded in the revenue records, and thereafter the petitioner constructed a residential house over a portion of the said land and has been residing there along with his family members. It is further submitted that in the year 2012, respondent No. 5 acquired a portion of the aforesaid land measuring approximately 21 dismil for the purpose of construction of a ring road and has since utilized the said land for public use, however, despite acquisition and utilization of the land belonging to the petitioner, no compensation whatsoever has been paid to the petitioner till date and no lawful justification or reason has been assigned for such non-payment, rendering the action of the respondent authorities wholly illegal, arbitrary, and contrary to the provisions of law; it is also submitted that the petitioner has made several representations before the concerned respondent authorities requesting payment of compensation in respect of the acquired land, however, despite repeated requests and representations, no action has been taken by the authorities and the legitimate claim of the petitioner continues to remain unaddressed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not challenging any particular order but is seeking a direction from this Court to the respondents, to decide the representations (Annexure P-2) dated 8.6.2012, 18.7.2012 and 6.3.2013 within a time frame fixed by this Court.



4. Learned counsel for State submits that in view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for petitioner seeking direction to respondent-authorities to consider the pending representation, he is having no objection to the limited prayer. His pending representation will be considered in accordance with law.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case instead of keeping this petition pending, I find it appropriate to dispose of the same at this stage directing the concerned respondent authorities to consider/decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P/2) dated 8.6.2012, 18.7.2012 and 6.3.2013 in accordance with law/rules/regulations after giving due opportunity of hearing to the parties.
7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed anything on the merits of the case.
8. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)

Judge