



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

FA No. 254 of 2019

SANJAY AGRAWAL (Died Through LRs) **versus** DR. BHAGAT RATNANI

FA/253/2019

Order Sheet

21.08.2025	Ms. Swati Agrawal, counsel for the appellant. Mr. Prasoon Agrawal, counsel for respondent No. 1. Mr. Somnath Verma, counsel for the respondent No. 2 (in FA No. 253 of 2019). <u>In FA No. 253 of 2019</u> Heard on application u/O 22 Rule 9 of the Code of Civil Procedure read with Section 5 of the Limitation Act. Learned counsel for the appellant would submit that during pendency of this appeal, sole appellant – Sanjay Agrawal has expired, therefore, he has filed application under Order 22 Rule 9 of the C.P.C. for setting aside the abatement read with Section 5 of the Limitation Act.

<i>Bhumika</i>	On due consideration, the same is allowed. He would further submit he has also filed application under Order 22 Rule 3 of the C.P.C. On due consideration, the same is allowed Learned counsel for the appellant is directed to incorporate necessary amendment in the cause title and amended cause title be filed within a week. List both the cases on 17th October, 2025. Sd/- (Narendra Kumar Vyas) Judge
-----------------------	--