



2026:CGHC:21680



2026:CGHC:21680

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****M.Cr.C No.4351 of 2026**

Sanjeet Singh @ Lambu S/o Late Suresh Singh Aged About 31
Years R/o Village- Itabhathapara, P.S.- Bhatgaon, Distt.- Surajpur
(C.G.) **... Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, P.S.
Bhatgaon, Distt.- Surajpur (C.G.) **... Non-applicant**

For Applicant :Mr. Arman Memon, Advocate.

For Non-applicant/State :Ms. Vaishali Mahilang, Dy. G.A

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

08.05.2026

1. The Applicant has preferred this 1st Bail Application under
Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023



for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No.144/2025 registered at Police Station – Bhatgaon, District Surajpur (C.G.), for the offence under Sections 305(a), 331(4), 317(2), 3(5) and 112 of BNS, 2023.

2. As per the prosecution story, on the basis of a complaint lodged by the Security In-charge of SECL Bhatgaon Colliery, it is alleged that the Applicant, along with other co-accused persons, unlawfully entered the SECL workshop and committed theft of 5 PT boxes, 6 SDL machine bearings, 20 meters of copper cable and 1 gear box. On the basis of the above allegations, the aforesaid offences have been registered against the present Applicant. Hence this application.
3. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case, who is in jail since 30.11.2025, co-accused Rajan Yadav has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 04.02.2026 passed in M.Cr.C No.1200/2026, Sonu Rajwade @ Munai has been granted bail on 20.04.2026 in M.Cr.C No.3358/2026, Shahzad @ Fukali was granted bail on 20.04.2026 in M.Cr.C No.3468/2026 and co-accused Upendra Hathgen was also granted bail vide order dated



20.04.2026 passed in M.Cr.C. No.3479/2026. It is lastly submitted that conclusion of trial is likely to take quite some time, therefore, the Applicant may be granted bail on the grounds of parity.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application and does not dispute the fact that the said co-accused have been granted bail by this Court.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the submissions advanced by learned Counsel for the parties, the nature of allegations, the pre-trial detention, charge sheet has been filed and that the co-accused Rajan Yadav has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 04.02.2026 passed in M.Cr.C No.1200/2026, Sonu Rajwade @ Munai has been granted bail on 20.04.2026 in M.Cr.C No.3358/2026, Shahzad @ Fukali was granted bail on 20.04.2026 in M.Cr.C No.3468/2026 and co-accused Upendra Hathgen was also granted bail vide order dated 20.04.2026 passed in M.Cr.C. No.3479/2026, further considering the likelihood of the trial taking considerable time, without further commenting anything on the merits of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case to allow the application.



Accordingly, the application is **allowed**.

7. Let applicant- **Sanjeet Singh @ Lambu** involved in Crime No.144/2025 registered at Police Station – Bhatgaon, District Surajpur (C.G.), for the offence under Sections 305(a), 331(4), 317(2), 3(5) and 112 of BNS, 2023 be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of



2026:CGHC:21680

charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Priya