



2026:CGHC:21357



2026:CGHC:21357

**NAFR****HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 3945 of 2026**

**1** - Suresh Kumar Baghel S/o Chovaram Baghel Aged About 73 Years R/o H. No. Lig- 169, Sector- 1, Housing Board Colony, Saddu, Dist. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**2** - Devnarayan Chandrakar (Dead) W/o Late Devnarayan Chandrakar Aged About 68 Years Through Its Legal Heir Sushila Chandrakar R/o Ward No. 5, Belsonda, Mahasamund, Dist. Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh.

**3** - Pir Rehman Khan S/o Karim Khan Aged About 75 Years R/o Vill. Sambalpur, Dist. Kanker Chhattisgarh.

**4** - Banshi Lal Patel S/o Dhanwa Ram Patel Aged About 74 Years R/o Ward No. 16, Vill. Sambalpur, Dist. Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

**5** - Hareram Prajapati (Dead) W/o Late Hareram Prajapati Aged About 58 Years Through Its Legal Heir Sharda Prajapati R/o Ward No. 31, Dhamtari, Dst. Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh.

**... Petitioner(s)****versus**



**1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Throught The Chief Secretary, Govt. Of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**2** - The Secretary, General Administration Department, Govt. Of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**3** - The Secretary, Department Of Public Works Department Govt. Of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**4** - The Secretary, Department Of Finance Accounts And Treasury, Govt. Of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**5** - The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Division Kanker, Dist. Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

**6** - The Executive Engineer Public Works Department, Division Dhamtari Dist. Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.

**7** - The Sub-Divisional Officer Public Works Department, Sub-Division No.1 Raipur, Dist. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**8** - The Executive Engineer Public Works Department, Division Mahasamund, Dist. Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh.

**... Respondent(s)**

For Petitioner(s) : Shri Sudhanshu Upadhyay, Advocate

For Respondent/ State : Mr. Shahil Singh, Panel Lawyer

**Hon'ble Shri Bibhu Datta Guru, Judge**

**Order on Board**

**07/05/2026**



Heard.

1. The petitioners have filled, this petition seeking the following reliefs:-

“(i) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to allow the present writ petition preferred by the petitioner and direct the respondent authorities to remove the anomaly occurred in the service of the petitioner.

(ii) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the Respondent authorities to grant pay scale published in the gazette notification dated 14.10.1982.

(iii) Alternatively, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue appropriate writ/order/direction similar to order passed in WPS No. 3358 of 2025 in case of Bhojram Nayak and Others v. State of Chhattisgarh granting liberty to petitioner to file a fresh representation and further directing the respondent authorities to consider the same in light of gazette notification dated 14.10.1982.

(iv) That any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and necessary in the interest of justice may kindly be awarded.



(v) That. the Cost of the petition may kindly also be awarded to the petitioner.”

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that in similarly situated facts and the question of law, a batch of the writ petition, the leading case of which was **Writ Petition (S) No. 2904 of 2005 [Dwarikadas Vaishnav & another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh (now Chhattisgarh) and others]** has been considered and decided by the Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 05.12.2012, in which, the petitioners, in those cases, have been granted liberty to file a fresh representation before competent authority, therefore, this petition may also be disposed of in light of that order directing the respondents-Competent Authority to consider the case of the petitioners for revision of their pay scale in accordance with the Notification dated 14.10.1992 (which was modified subsequently as 14.10.1982) issued by State of Madhya Pradesh, as the petitioners were earlier working as daily wage employees and subsequently, their services were regularized.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents would submit that earlier the respondent/State had already considered the issue of the petitioners in the light of the judgment passed by State Administrative Tribunal, Gwalior Bench in **Laxmi Narayan Upadhyay v. State of M.P.**, however, he submits that if the petitioners file a fresh representation, the State will consider their case in light of the notification as mentioned above.



2026:CGHC:21357

4. In view of the above submissions made by the parties, this petition is disposed of. If the petitioners file a fresh representation before the competent authority, the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority in light of the aforesaid notification expeditiously preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production of a certified copy of this order.
5. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-**  
**(Bibhu Datta Guru)**  
**JUDGE**

S. Bhilwar