



2026:CGHC:23722

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 4304 of 2026

Harinarayan Dhirhi S/o Chandrabhan Dhirhi Aged About 65 Years R/o Assistant Manager (F And A) (Retd) E.D. (Finance) Cspgcl, Raipur (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1. Chhattisgarh State Power Generation Company Limited Through The Managing Director, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

2. Managing Director (Production) Chhattisgarh State Power Generation Company Limited, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

3. General Manager (Finance And Audit) Chhattisgarh State Power Generation Company Limited, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

4. Manager Pension Office Of Executive Director (Finance) Chhattisgarh State Power Generation Company Limited, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Priyanka Rai, Advocate

For Respondents : Mr. Mayank Chandrakar, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Bibhu Datta Guru, Judge

Order on Board

15/06/2026

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:-

10.1. That, this Hon'ble court may kindly be pleased to

issue an appropriate writ/ direction/order to direct the respondent to direct the respondent to pay the proper pension and all other pensionary benefits after recalculation and re fixation as per Rules.

10.2. That, this Hon'ble court may kindly be pleased to issue an appropriate writ/ direction/order to declare order dated 27.06.23 by which the amount of Rs. 2,42,675/-has been deducted as illegal and to direct the respondent authorities to return the aforementioned amount wrongly deducted from DRCG to the petitioner in the interest of justice.

10.3 Any other relief (s) that this Hon'ble Court may deem fit to grant in the interest of Justice.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner, a retired employee of the respondent/Electricity Department, superannuated from service on 31.05.2023. She would further submit that the grievance of the petitioner is with regard to incorrect fixation and calculation of pension and other retiral benefits by the respondents. Learned counsel would further submit that the petitioner has already submitted a representation vide Annexure P-5 before respondent No.2/Managing Director ventilating his grievance, which is still pending consideration.
3. Per contra, learned counsel appearing for the respondents would submit that in case the representation submitted by the petitioner

is still pending, the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority within a reasonable time.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents appended with the petition.
5. Considering the limited grievance raised by the petitioner and without entering into the merits of the claim made in the present petition, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation submitted by the petitioner vide Annexure P-5, strictly in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, after verification of the claim of the petitioner.
6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition stands **disposed of**.

Sd/-

(Bibhu Datta Guru)

JUDGE