

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 3870 of 2026**

1 - Tularam Yadav S/o Shri Maniram Yadav Aged About 63 Years R/o Vijay Nagar Gali No. 5 Avanti Vihar Ward No. 28 Shanker Nagar, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Petitioner Was Retired On 28/02/2026 From The Post Of Gang Un-Skilled Labor)

... Petitioner

Versus

1 - The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Public Works Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - Engineer In Chief Public Work Department, Nirman Bhawan, Sector 19 North Block, Nava Raipur, Distt - Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - Chief Engineer Public Work Department, Near Akashwani, Distt Raipur Chhattisgarh

4 - Superintendent Engineer Public Work Department, Near Akaswani, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

5 - Executive Engineer Public Work Department, Division No. 02, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

6 - District Treasury Officer District Treasury Office, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Satish Gupta, Advocate

For Respondents : Ms. Apurva Nigam, Panel Lawyer

**S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge****Order on Board****06/05/2026**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employee is entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioner. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. Vs. State of C.G., in W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021 decided on 30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioner may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel** (supra) and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner expeditiously within specified time.
2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioner is not pressing this petition on merits and are only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner, at this stage, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioner to submit fresh representation. In the event, petitioner submits representation, his claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three



months from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind
decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.

5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed off with aforesaid observation and direction.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Dey