



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WP No. 3449 of 2006

1 - Tarkeshwar Nande S/o Late S. K. Nande Aged About 26 Years R/o Matrichhaya, Bohidarpara, Raigarh, Chhattisgarh., District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

2 - Smt. Sushma Tripathi W/o Shri Shashank Shekhar Tripathi Aged About 25 Years R/o Hig-53, Gop Bandhu Nagar, Chhend Colony, Rourkela, District Sundergarh, Orisa., District : Sundargarh, Orissa

... **Petitioners**

versus

1 - Central Bank Of India Through Its Chairman Cum Managing Director Chandramukhi, Nariman Point, Mumbai-400021., District : Mumbai, Maharashtra

2 - General Manager Central Bank Of India. Mumbai, Metropolitan Zonal Office , 346, Standard Building Dr. D. N. Fort, Mumbai-400023., District : Mumbai, Maharashtra

3 - Dy General Manager Central Bank Of India Zonal Office 1st Floor Balock C Bombay Market G. E. Road, Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

4 - Mr. C. K. Pandey (Enquiry Authority) Regional Manager Central Bank Of India, Choube Colony Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

... **Respondents**

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)

Order on Board

03/09/2025

By this writ petition filed under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners are challenging the impugned order



13.07.2005 whereby the penalty of dismissal was imposed on the original petitioner as also the order dated 07.02.2006 passed by the appellate authority dismissing the appeal of the petitioner.

No one appears on behalf of the petitioners even when the matter is called out in second round.

None for the respondents.

Perusal of the order sheets shows that earlier also when the matter was taken up for hearing on 05.08.2025 and 25.08.2025, no body has chosen to appear on behalf of the petitioners. Even today no one appears on behalf of the petitioner despite repeated calls.

Since no one appears on behalf of the petitioners to argue the case, it appears that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the matter. In that view of the matter, this Court is left with no other way except to dismiss the petition for want of prosecution.

Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

**Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge**