



2026:CGHC:20713



2026:CGHC:20713

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**MCRCA No. 660 of 2026**

Yasmin Banjara W/o Ramakant Banjara Aged About 36 Years R/o Panna Nagar, Ward No. 08, P.S. Civil Line, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

... **Applicant****versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S.- City Kotwali, District – Raigarh (C.G.)

... **Non-applicant**

For Applicant : Mr. Anchal Kumar Matre, Advocate.

For Non-applicant/State : Mr. Nitansh Jaiswal, Dy. Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

04.05.2026

1. This anticipatory bail application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed by the applicant, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No. 187/2026 registered at Police Station – City Kotwali, District – Raigarh (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 316(5), 336(3), 338, 340(2) and 60 of the BNS.
2. The prosecution story, in brief, is that the complainant who is one of the owners of Shri Ram Transport Company, lodged an FIR alleging a financial fraud committed by two of his employees namely Prakash Mishra and Deepak Sharma. The complainant alleges that on 26.02.2026, he discovered duplicate bill vouchers bearing identical numbers had been prepared for different vehicles to authorize fraudulent payments. These duplicate voucher bills were allegedly drawn in the names of six individuals, including the present Applicant



resulting in a wrongful total loss of Rs.32,00,000/- to the company.

Hence, this application.

3. It has been argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. It is submitted that the applicant happens to be a lady, and she has no any previous criminal antecedents. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the applicant is not entitled for grant of anticipatory bail in this case.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the materials available on record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation leveled against the applicant, and the fact that the applicant happens to be a lady and she has no any previous criminal antecedents, and the case of the applicant is distinguishable from that of the co-accused, at this stage without expressing any opinion on merits, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be granted anticipatory bail in this case.
7. Accordingly, the instant MCRCA is **allowed** and it is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant – **Yasmin Banjara** on executing a personal bond with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the arresting Officer, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-



2026:CGHC:20713

(a) The Applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court.

(b) The Applicant shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial.

(c) The Applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

(d) The Applicant and the surety shall submit a copy of their adhaar card alongwith a colored postcard full size photo having printed the adhaar number on it, which shall be verified by the trial Court.

(e) The Applicant shall not involve themselves in any offence of similar nature in future.

**Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE**