



2026:CGHC:21749

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 2264 of 2026**

1 - Chandkeshwar Panigrahi S/o Shri B.N. Panigrahi, Aged About 48 Years R/o Shiv Mandir Ward, Dongaghat, Jagdalpur, District Bastar (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Public Works Department, Ministry, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

2 - The Engineer-In Chief, Public Work Department, Nirman Bhawan, North Block Sector-19, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar District Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - The Chief Engineer, Public Work Department, (E/m) Sirpur Bhawan, Near Aakashwani Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

4 - The Collector And District Magistrate Dantewada, District South Bastar Dantewada, Chhattisgarh

5 - The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (E/m) Division, Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh

6 - Executive Engineer, P.W.D. (B / R) Division, Dantewada, District



South Bastar Dantewada.

7 - Sub Divisional Officer, P.W.D. E And M Sum Division, Dantewada,
District South Bastar Dantewada (C.G.)

8 - Chief General Manager, N.M.D.C. Bacheli Complex, District South
Bastar Dantewada (C.G.)

... Respondents

(Cause-title taken from the Case Information System)

For Petitioner :- Mr. Alok Kumar Dewangan, Advocate
For State :- Mr. R.K. Gupta, Addl. A.G.
For Respondent No. 8 :- Mr. Topilal Bareth, Advocate (On advance
copy)

SB-Hon'ble Shri Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

08.05.2026

1. The petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking following reliefs:-

*"10.1 The Hon'ble court may kindly be pleased to
Issue an appropriate writ/order/direction directing
the respondents to release the outstanding
payment of Rs. 10,70,334/- in favour of the
petitioner within a stipulated time frame imposing
suitable interest @ 9% per annum on the
delayed payment from the date it became due till
actual payment to the petitioner in the interest of
justice.*

*10.2 That, the Hon'ble court may kindly be
pleased to direct the Respondents to make*



payment of expense for unnecessary litigation and compensation for undue suffering to the petitioner.

10.3 This Hon'ble court may kindly be pleased to call for entire records from the office of the respondents in respect of petitioner's claim.

10.4 That, any other Writ, order, directions or relief which the petitioner is entitle and this Hon'ble Court may deem fit may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner.”

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the parties submit that the issue involved in the present writ petition stands squarely covered by the order passed by this Court in **WPC No.5223 of 2024 (Yugal Sound Service and Electric Goods Suppliers vs. State of Chhattisgarh and Others)** decided on **22.01.2025**. It is prayed that the present writ petition may also be disposed of in terms of the observations and findings recorded therein. The relevant paragraphs of the said judgment are reproduced below:—

“5. Considering the submission made by the parties, the respondent No. 2 & 6 are directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and verify whether the work allotted to the petitioner has been completed or not and if the work has been completed and the claim made by him is undisputed, the outstanding dues be released, in accordance with law, at the earliest preferably within a period of three months from today.



6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.”

3. Since the grievance raised by the petitioner is identical to the issue considered by this Court in **WPC No. 5223 of 2024 (Yugal Sound Service and Electric Goods Suppliers vs. State of Chhattisgarh and Others)**, decided on **22.01.2025**, and the petitioner herein is also claiming similar reliefs, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of and in line with the aforesaid order. It is, however, made clear that the directions issued herein shall operate only against respondent Nos. 2, 4 and 8, and no direction is being issued against the remaining respondents.

4. No order as to cost(s).

sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Vishakha