



2026:CGHC:20676

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 4089 of 2026**

Vijay Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Dilip Kumar Aged About 21 Years R/o Village Narayanpur, Police Chowki, Maro, Thana, Nandghat, Distt. Bemetara, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant**versus**

State of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer Maro, P.S. Nandghat, Distt. Bemetara, Chhattisgarh.

... Non-applicant

For Applicant : Mr. Rajendra Patel, Advocate.

For Non-applicant/State : Mr. Nitansh Jaiswal, Dy. Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****04.05.2026**

1. This is the **First bail application** filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 70/2026 registered at Police outpost Maro, Police Station-Nandghat, District Bemetara, (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 69 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
2. The prosecution story, in brief, is that the victim lodged a report stating that she was in a love relationship with the applicant. On 08.03.2026 at about 05:00 PM, the applicant called the victim and asked her to meet



him near the pond of her village. Thereafter, she left her house stating that she was going for a walk with her friends and went to the pond, where she met the applicant. The applicant then told her that he would marry her and took her along with him in a bus to Bilha, Dongargarh, and Tripur. During this period, he established physical relations with her four to five times. On 15.03.2026, at night, he brought her back to her village, Narayanpur, left her there, and stated that he would not marry her. On the basis of the said report, the police of the concerned police station registered an FIR against the applicant. He was subsequently arrested, and after completion of the investigation, the charge sheet has been filed.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present applicant is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the victim is a major girl who was in a love relationship with the applicant and was a consenting party. It is also submitted that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 19.03.2026, and as the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, he prays for grant of regular bail to the present applicant.
4. On the other hand, learned counsel State counsel opposes the bail application of the present applicant and submits that the present applicant on the pretext of marriage established physical relationship with the victim and thereafter denied, therefore, he is not entitled for grant of regular bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the offence, and upon perusal of the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, it appears that the victim is a



major girl, who was in a love relationship with the applicant and was a consenting party. However, after some time, when their relationship could not materialize, she lodged the aforesaid case against the present applicant. Further, considering the fact that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court and that the applicant has been in custody since 19.03.2026, and as the trial is likely to take some time for its conclusion, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case.

7. Let applicant, **Vijay Kumar Sahu**, involved in Crime No. 70/2026 registered at Police outpost Maro, Police Station-Nandghat, District Bemetara, (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 69 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond with two sureties** in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation



2026:CGHC:20676

under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned forthwith for necessary information and compliance.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Abhishek