



2026:CGHC:21518

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Order Sheet

Cont. No. 554 of 2021**Rajendra Kumar Tiwari Vs. Mahadev Kavre & Others**

17/04/2023	<p>Mr. Umakant Singh Chandel, Advocate, for Petitioner.</p> <p>Mr. Utsav Mahishwar, Advocate, for Respondent No.1, 3, 4.</p> <p>Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, Advocate, for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>Mr. Amrito Das, Advocate, for Respondent No.5.</p> <p>The report submitted by the Pension Redressal Committee is not satisfactory, particularly, on the aspect of the signature of the Petitioner getting examined by the Handwriting Expert and also on the documents, on the basis of which the withdrawals were made, not getting examined with the handwriting of the so called persons namely Radhe Shyam Bareth, K.L. Pandey and Dilip Kumar Sahu, who had illegally made withdrawals, as per the report of the Additional Superintendent of Police.</p> <p>In view of above, this Court is of the opinion that let the matter now be further examined by the State authorities from the Handwriting Experts with the State (State Examiners), particularly, the handwritten documents on the basis of which the so called withdrawals have been made and compare the same with the handwriting of the aforementioned three persons whose handwriting is available with the Department, i.e., the handwritten documents of Radhe Shyam Bareth, K.L. Pandey and Dilip Kumar Sahu.</p> <p>Let this exercise be completed within an outer limit of four weeks and a fresh report be submitted before this Court by the next date of hearing.</p> <p>As prayed for, let this matter be taken up for hearing on 13.6.2023.</p> <p>Meanwhile, the State authorities are directed to ensure that the enquiry is completed and the report be submitted positively by the next date of hearing.</p> <p>The personal presence of the Officer from the Accountant General's Office stands exempted as of now.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. Sam Koshy) JUDGE</p>
sharad	