



2026:CGHC:23926



2026:CGHC:23926

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****CONT No. 714 of 2026**

Ramesh Kumar Bunkar (In Person) S/o Late Nandkishore Bunkar Aged About 63 Years R/o H.No. 1412, Street No. 30, Shanti Nagar, Bhilai, Distt. Durg Chhattisgarh Mob. No. 9575490647

... Petitioner**versus**

1 - Ashwani Kumar Managing Director And Chief Executive Officer, U C O Bank, Head Office Kolkatta, Distt. Kolkatta (West Bengal) Pin 700001

2 - Manish Kumar General Manager, Personnel Services Department U C O Bank, Kolkatta, Distt. Kolkatta (West Bengal) Pin 700001

3 - Veena Kumari Zonal Manager, U C O Bank, Zonal Office, Telibandha Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh Pin 492001

4 - Sanjay Sharma Chief Manager, H R M Department (Personnel), U C O Bank, Zonal Office, Telibandha, Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh Pin 492001

5 - Vijay Kumar Pradhan Chief Manager, U C O Bank, Kohka Branch, Bhilai, Distt. Durg Chhattisgarh Pin 490023

... Respondent**(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)**



For Petitioner : Mr. Ramesh Kumar Bunkar, Petitioner in
Person

For Respondent : Mr. P. R. Patankar, Advocate

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE BIBHU DATTA GURU)

Order on Board

16/06/2026

1. The present contempt petition has been filed alleging defiance of the orders dated 18.02.2026 and 16.03.2026 passed by this Court in WPS No. 5842 of 2022.
2. The petitioner, appearing in person, submits that vide the aforesaid orders, this Court had directed the parties to prepare a chart indicating the retiral dues, salary and increments already paid to the petitioner and the amounts, if any, still payable. He further submits that the respondents were also directed to file a reply to the interlocutory application filed by the petitioner. According to the petitioner, though he has submitted the requisite chart, the respondents have neither filed a detailed chart as directed nor submitted any reply.
3. *Per contra*, learned counsel appearing for the respondents by placing a copy of the reply alongwith the chart, submits that a detailed reply to the interlocutory application along with a chart in tabular form has already been filed on 30.04.2026 in the writ petition.
4. The petitioner, however, submits that a copy of the said reply has not been supplied to him and further contends that the chart filed by the respondents is incomplete.



5. I have heard the petitioner in person, learned counsel for the respondents and perused the material available on record.
6. From perusal of the record, it appears that the respondents have filed their reply along with the chart on 30.04.2026 in WPS No. 5842 of 2022. Thus, the direction issued by this Court stands complied with. Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to furnish a copy of the reply along with the chart to the petitioner in person today itself.
7. In view of the aforesaid compliance, no case for contempt proceeding is made out against the respondents and the same is dropped.
8. Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands disposed of.
9. The Rule is discharged.
10. It is, however, made clear that if the petitioner is of the view that the reply or the chart furnished by the respondents is incomplete or incorrect in any manner, he shall be at liberty to file an appropriate rejoinder in WPS No. 5842 of 2022 in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(BIBHU DATTA GURU)
Judge