



2026:CGHC:20708



2026:CGHC:20708

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 667 of 2026

Paras Ram Sahu S/o Shri Chattar Ram Sahu Aged About 50 Years R/o
Village Achanakpur Police Station Utai, District Durg Chhattisgarh

... Applicant(s)

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Balod, District Balod
Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant(s) : Mr. B.P. Singh, Advocate.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Nitansh Jaiswal, Deputy Government
Advocate.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice Order on Board

04/05/2026

1. This first anticipatory bail application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') has been filed by the applicant, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.481/2025 registered at Police Station Balod, District Balod (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 1111(1), 3(5) of BNS.



2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the land bearing Khasra Nos. 386/1 and 386/2, admeasuring 0.20 hectare each (total about 1 acre), situated at village Umradah, was recorded in the name of the complainant and his sister, Sulochna Patel. The present applicant allegedly agreed to purchase the said land for a total consideration of Rs.43,00,000/-, out of which a sum of Rs.9,50,000/- remained unpaid. It is alleged that the applicant assured that the remaining amount would be paid through cheque after execution of the sale deed at market value, purportedly for his benefit. Subsequently, the land was registered in the name of an acquaintance, Tara Bai, and the applicant issued a cheque of Rs.9,50,000/-, which was allegedly improperly signed and dishonoured, thereby cheating the complainant. On this basis, an FIR has been registered against the applicant for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 111(1) and 3(5) of the BNS. Hence, the bail application.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has not committed any offence and he has been falsely implicated in offence in question. He further submits that one of the identically situated co-accused persons, namely, Tara Sahu has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 16.01.2026 in MCRCA No.93 of 2026 and two co-accused persons, namely, Jagat Ram Sahu and Lekh Ram Netam have been granted regular bail by this Court vide common order dated 02.02.2026 in MCRC No.1074 of 2026. hence he prays for grant



of anticipatory bail to the applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail and submits that the applicant allegedly agreed to purchase the complainant's land for Rs.43,00,000/- and, after getting the property registered in the name of his acquaintance, issued a cheque of Rs.9,50,000/- towards the remaining amount, which was allegedly wrongly signed and dishonoured, thereby cheated the complainant. Therefore, the applicant is not entitled for grant of bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties, nature of dispute and material available in the case diary and the fact that though the applicant allegedly agreed to purchase the complainant's land for Rs.43,00,000/- and, after getting the property registered in the name of his acquaintance, issued a cheque of Rs.9,50,000/- towards the remaining amount, which was allegedly wrongly signed and dishonoured, thereby cheated the complainant, but also considering the fact that one of the identically situated co-accused persons, namely, Tara Sahu has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 16.01.2026 in MCRCA No.93 of 2026 and two co-accused persons, namely, Jagat Ram Sahu and Lekh Ram Netam have been granted



regular bail by this Court vide common order dated 02.02.2026 in MCRC No.1074 of 2026, further considering the criminal antecedents of the applicant as the same have been duly explained in the bail application in para No.4(A), and also considering that at present a compromise has been arrived at between the complainant and the accused and there remains no dispute with regard to the payment of consideration amount as reflected from the order annexed as Annexure A/5, as such, without further commenting anything on the merits of the case, this Court finds it appropriate to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant.

7. Accordingly, the instant MCRC is **allowed** and it is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant - **Paras Ram Sahu**, on executing a personal bond with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the arresting Officer, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(a) He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court.

(b) He shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial.

(c) He shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.



(d) The applicant and the surety shall submit a copy of their adhaar card alongwith a colored postcard full size photo having printed the adhaar number on it, which shall be verified by the trial Court.

(e) He shall not involve himself in any offence of similar nature in future.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Kunal