



2026:CGHC:20629

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCC No. 431 of 2026

Dev Narayan Kashyap S/o Late Ram Bhuvan Kashyap Aged About 58 Years
Presently Posted As The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ambikapur,
District- Surguja (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

- 1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through - The Secretary General Administration
Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)
- 2** - Secretary Forest And Climate Change Department, Mahanadi Bhawan,
Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, District- Raipur (C.G.)
- 3** - Departmental Promotion Committee Through Its Chairperson, Forest And
Climate Change Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar,
District- Raipur (C.G.)
- 4** - Mrs. Rich Sharma Additional Chief Secretary, Forest And Climate Change
Department, Chhattisgarh Government, Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	: Ms. Sonia Kuldeep, Advocate
For Respondents	: Mr. Aditya Tiwari, P.L.

HON'BLE SHRI PARTH PRATEEM SAHU, JUDGE

ORDER ON BOARD

04.05.2026

- 1.** Counsel for the petitioner submits that due to inadvertent mistake in
Para No. 4 of the order passed in WPS No. 3066 of 2026 dated
08.04.2026, it is mentioned as representation before respondent No. 1
instead of the respondent No. 2 and 3. The appropriate authority to

consider the representation would be respondent No. 2 and 3 and therefore, direction be issued to the petitioner to submit representation before respondent No. 2 and 3.

2. Counsel for the State submits that he is having no objection to the limited prayer.
3. On due consideration of the submission of the counsel for the parties, this application is allowed and it is directed that the sentence 'permitting the petitioner to submit a fresh comprehensive representation before respondent No. 1' be read as 'permitting the petitioner to submit a fresh comprehensive representation before respondent No. 2 and 3' and further in subsequent line of the same paragraph it be read as 'if such a representation is submitted before respondent No. 2 and 3' instead of 'respondent No. 1'.
4. With the above directions and observations, the MCC is allowed. Registry is directed to make this Order as part of the writ petition.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE