



Order Sheet

WPS No. 2986 of 2020Hotam Singh Mourya **Versus** State Of Chhattisgarh and others

06/08/2020	Mr. Goutam Khetarpal, Counsel for the Petitioner. Mrs. Richa Shukla, Dy. G.A. for the State. Heard Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was subjected to departmental enquiry on the ground that he has made some wrong payment of cheque to one Nisha Yadav as a victim compensation whereas the petitioner has not issued such cheque which was encashed by her. It is further contended that in the list of documents relied in the departmental enquiry, the cheque has been relied upon, however when the copy of that cheque has been sought for, it had not been provided which could easily be provided by obtaining from the Bank. Learned State counsel prays time to seek instructions and file reply. The list of documents would show that the cheque has been relied upon to claim the charges in the departmental enquiry. Consequently, if the payment has been made and it is



the basics of the charge, then in order to defend the san

cheque prima facie appears to be a relevant document.

2026:CGMC:10249

List the matter after four weeks.

In the meanwhile, it is directed that in order to evaluate the facts, there shall be stay in the departmental enquiry as against the petitioner till the next date of hearing.

sd/-

(Goutam Bhaduri)

Judge

Vishakha