



2026:CGHC:20615



2026:CGHC:20615

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3833 of 2026

1 - Smt. Meenabai Yadav W/o Late Mahesh Yadav Aged About 63 Years
R/o Diprapara, Chhuikhadan, Tahsil - Chhuikhadan, District - Khairagarh
- Chhuikhadan- Gandai (C.G.)

2 - Smt. Godavri Yadav W/o Late Anjori Yadav Aged About 65 Years R/o
Ward No. 1, Chhuikhadan, Tahsil - Chhuikhadan, District - Khairagarh -
Chhuikhadan- Gandai (C.G.)

3 - Smt.Urmila Bai Verma W/o Late Shyamlal Verma Aged About 64
Years R/o Village - Bhulatola, Tahsil - Khairagarh, District - Khairagarh-
Chhuikhadan-Gandai (C.G.)

4 - Premlal Chandrakar S/o Budhra Ram Chandrakar Aged About 75
Years R/o Chhuikhadan, Tahsil - Chhuikhadan, District - Khairagarh -
Chhuikhadan- Gandai (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Water Resource
Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur,



District - Raipur

2026:CGHC:20615
(C.G.)

2 - Engineer-In-Chief Water Resource Department, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District - Raipur (C.G.)

3 - Executive Engineer Water Resource Department, Chhauikhadan Division, District - Khairagarh - Chhuikhadan- Gandai (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pramod Ramteke, Advocate

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Avinash Singh, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order on Board

04/05/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employees are entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioners. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel and ors. Vs. State of C.G. in WPS No. 3870 of 2021 decided on 30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioners may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel** (supra) and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be



submitted by petitioners expeditiously within specified time.

2. Learned state counsel submits that as petitioners are not pressing this petition on merits and are only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners, at this stage, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, this petition is disposed of in light of **Faguvaram Patel** (supra) and the petitioners are at liberty to make representation. In the event, petitioners submit representation, their claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel** (supra).
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observation and direction.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE

Madhurima