

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****SA No. 391 of 2014**

Ashish Kumar Vaishnav **versus** Narmada Bai and Anr.

**Order Sheet**

<b>06/05/2026</b>	<p>Shri Keshav Dewangan, learned counsel for the appellant.</p> <p>Shri Ankur Diwan, learned counsel for the respondent No. 2.</p> <p>Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 submits that during the pendency of the present appeal, respondent No. 1 has expired.</p> <p>In view of the same, learned counsel for the appellant seeks three weeks' time to file an appropriate application.</p> <p>As prayed, three week's time is granted.</p> <p>On the last date of hearing, a direction was</p>

issued to the Registry to delete the name of the Office of the Advocate General from the cause list; however, it appears from the cause list today that the said direction has not been complied with.

The Registrar (J) is hereby directed to seek an explanation from the responsible staff of Registry why the direction dated 01.05.2026 has not been complied with.

It is again reiterated that the name of the Office of the Advocate General shall not be reflected in the cause list.

List this appeal in the first week of June, 2026.

Interim relief granted earlier shall continue till the next date of hearing.

Sd/-

**(BIBHU DATTA GURU)**  
**Judge**