



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRMP No. 1254 of 2026

- 1** - Praveen Yadav S/o Lorik Yadav Aged About 33 Years R/o -Village -Uparpara Khorma, Police Station -Pratappur -District -Surajpur C.G.
- 2** - Lorik Yadav S/o Hardev Lal Yadav Aged About 61 Years R/o - Village Uparpara, Khorma Police Station -Pratappur District - Surajpur C.G.
- 3** - Baby Yadav W/o Lorik Yadav Aged About 50 Years R/o -Village Uparpara, Khorma Police Station -Pratappur District -Surajpur C.G.
- 4** - Rajesh Kumar Yadav S/o Lorik Yadav Aged About 35 Years R/o - Village Uparpara, Khorma Police Station -Pratappur District - Surajpur C.G.
- 5** - Parmila Yadav W/o Lalbahadur Yadav Aged About 34 Years R/o Ward No-7, Bhaiyathan Police Station -Bhaiyathan District Surajpur C.G.
- 6** - Laibahadur Yadav S/o Giteshwar Yadav Aged About 34 Years R/o -Ward No. -7, Bhaiyathan Police Station- Bhaiyathan District - Surajpur C.G.

... Petitioners

versus

1 - State of Chhattisgarh Through- Police Station Mahila Thana - Ambikapur District -Surguja C.G.

2 - Smt. Sindhu Yadav W/o Praveen Yadav Aged About 31 Years R/o -Behind The Hanuman Temple -Gandhi Nagar -Police Station Gandhi Nagar- Ambikapur C.G.

... Respondents

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

Order Sheet

06.05.2026	Heard Mr. Chitendra Singh, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Ms. Vaishali Mahilong, learned Deputy Government Advocate, appearing for the State/respondent No.1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegations made in the FIR are false, concocted, and have been levelled only to harass the petitioners. It is submitted that the entire complaint is an afterthought, made with ulterior motives, and does not disclose any specific or credible instance of cruelty or demand of dowry attributable to the petitioners. It is further submitted that the allegations are vague, omnibus in nature, and lack necessary particulars so as to constitute the ingredients of the offences alleged

under the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. The petitioners have been falsely implicated without any independent corroboration, and the complaint has been lodged belatedly with intent to pressurize and settle personal scores. Accordingly, it is prayed that the criminal proceedings arising out of FIR No. 002/2026 dated 07.03.2026 registered at Mahila Thana Police Station, Surguja (Ambikapur), including all consequential proceedings, be quashed as being an abuse of process of law.

Considering the fact that the dispute which arose between the parties *i.e.* petitioner No.1/husband and respondent No. 2/wife is matrimonial in nature, we deem it appropriate to make an effort to get the said dispute settled by way of mediation.

In view of the above, petitioner No.1/husband shall deposit **Rs.1,00,000/-** with the Mediation Centre of this Court within two weeks from today and the same shall be paid to the wife/respondent No.2 on her appearance before the Mediation Centre.

Parties are directed to appear before the Mediation Centre of this Court on 18.05.2026.

Learned State counsel is directed to inform the private respondent i.e. respondent No.2 about passing of this order, so that she may appear before the Mediation Centre of this Court of the aforesaid date.

List this matter along with report of Mediation Centre on 18.06.2026.

Till the next date of listing or till submission of Police Report under Section 173(2) of the Cr.P.C. (now under Section 193(3) of the BNSS), if any, before the competent Court, whichever is earlier, the arrest of the petitioners in pursuance of impugned F.I.R. bearing Crime No.0002/2026 dated 07.03.2026 registered at Police Station Mahila Thana, Surguja (Ambikapur), District Surguja (C.G.) under Sections 85 and 3(5) of the BNS, shall remain stayed, of course subject to the restraint that the petitioners shall fully cooperate with the investigation and shall appear as and when called upon to assist in the investigation.

It is made clear that in case the aforesaid amount is not deposited, the interim protection granted above shall automatically be vacated and this petition shall stand dismissed without further reference to any Bench of this Court.

The petitioners are directed to produce the copy of the receipt before the trial Court concerned regarding payment of the said money before the Mediation Centre of this Court in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, then only this order shall be given into effect.

It is also made clear that, if any, final settlement is arrived at between the parties, the aforesaid amount so deposited, shall be adjusted.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice