



2026:CGHC:25810



2026:CGHC:25810

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 3631 of 2026

- Dhirendra Ratrey S/o Budhwar Ratrey Aged About 19 Years R/o Village Bhalpahari, P.S. Hardi Bajar, Distt. Korba, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant

versus

- State of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, P.S. Akaltara, Distt. Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent

For Applicant	:	Mr. J.K. Gupta, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Mr. Akash Agrawal, PL

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Radhakishan Agrawal)

Order on Board

24/06/2026

- The accused/applicant has moved this first bail application under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, for releasing him on regular bail during trial in connection with Crime No.637/2025 registered at Police Station – Akaltara, District – Janjgir-Champa (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 64(2)(d), 65(1) and 87 of BNS, Sections 4 & 6 of POCSO Act, and Sections 67(a) & 67(b) of the IT Act.
- The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the victim, aged less than 16 years, was allegedly enticed and taken away by the applicant from the lawful guardianship of her parents. It is alleged that during the said period, the applicant committed sexual intercourse with the victim,



recorded objectionable videos of the victim on his mobile phone, and thereafter threatened and blackmailed her by stating that the videos would be made viral if she disclosed the incident to anyone. Based on the said report, the police registered an offence against the applicant, leading to his arrest.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the applicant and the victim had been living together from 08.04.2025 to 08.08.2025, i.e., for about four months, and that the applicant has not committed any offence. He further submits that the applicant is in jail since 19.12.2025 and that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, therefore, he may be released on bail.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the FIR was lodged against the applicant alleging that the victim was below 16 years of age at the time of the incident. He submits that the applicant kidnapped the victim, repeatedly committed sexual intercourse with her, recorded her objectionable videos on his mobile phone, and thereafter threatened and blackmailed her. He, therefore, prays for dismissal of the application.
5. Despite service of notice upon the father of the prosecutrix, prosecutrix did not appear before this Court.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the nature and gravity of the offence, and material available on record, I am not inclined to release the applicant on bail.



8. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023
is rejected.
9. Office is directed to sent a certified copy of this order to the trial Court
concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(Radhakishan Agrawal)
Judge

Priyanka