

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 3595 of 2026**Sumit Pandey @ Sethi **Versus** State of Chhattisgarh**Order Sheet**

21/04/2026	Heard Mr. Manoj Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Ms. Monika Thakur, learned Panel Lawyer appearing for the Non-applicant/State. It transpires from the record that three persons are stated to have sustained injuries, out of whom the injuries of two persons are reported to be simple in nature. However, one injured, namely Raja Himanchal, is stated to have sustained grievous injuries on the back of his chest, in the right interscapular region, and has further been advised to undergo surgery. It is a matter of concern that the doctor who examined the said injured on 02.11.2025 at about 2:00 a.m., namely Dr. Shailesh Painkra, has not specified the nature of the injury in the MLC report. Furthermore, it is
-------------------	--

not clear from the record whether the injured has undergone any surgery.

Accordingly, the Chief Medical and Health Officer, Bilaspur, is directed to inform this Court as to why Dr. Shailesh Painkra, who examined the injured, failed to mention the nature of the injury in the MLC report, and also to clarify whether any surgery has been conducted on the injured in respect of the said wound.

The Registrar (Judicial) of this Court as well as the learned State counsel are directed to communicate this order to the Chief Medical and Health Officer, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, for necessary information and compliance.

Let the matter be listed again after two weeks.

**Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice**