



2026:CGHC:18323



2026:CGHC:18323

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3450 of 2026

Sangeeta Devi Sao W/o Shri Ram Kumar Rao Aged About 44 Years R/o
Ispat Nagar Bhilai, District Durg Chhattisgarh

... **Petitioner**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of School
Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District
Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - Director Directorate Of Chhattisgarh Public Instruction Raipur, Indravati
Bhawan, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - Joint Director Education Division Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh

4 - District Education Officer Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh

... **Respondents**

For Petitioner : Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate

For Respondents/State : Ms. Sakshi Bajpai, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order On Board

22.04.2026

1. Petitioner has filed this petition seeking following relief (s) :-



“ 10.1 That, this Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to quash/set-aside the impugned orders (Annexure P-1), (Annexure P-2) and (Annexure P-3) in the interest of justice.

10.2 That, in alternative this Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the State Level Committee to decide the pending appeal dated 06.11.2025 within stipulated period, in the interest of justice.

10.3 Any other relief which may be suitable in the facts and circumstances of the case, may also be granted.”

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner has submitted an appeal against the order dated 04.07.2025 (Annexure P-2) before the State Level Committee under the Rationalization Policy on 06.11.2025(Annexure P-5) but the representation submitted by petitioner has not been considered and decided and, therefore, direction be issued to respondent no. 2 to consider and decide the representation at the earliest, within specified time frame.
3. Learned State counsel submits that in view of limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, she is having no objection.
4. On due consideration of the submission made by learned counsel for the respective parties and further considering that petitioner has submitted a representation before the State Level Committee headed by Directorate of Public Instructions, this writ petition at this stage is disposed of directing respondent no. 2 to consider and take decision on the representation submitted by petitioner, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a further period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of the Order.



5. Petitioner will be at liberty to submit fresh comprehensive representation before State Level Committee headed by Directorate of Public Instructions, if he so desires and if, such a representation is submitted by petitioner it shall be considered and decided by the concerned authority, in accordance with law along with pending representation.
6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ petition stands disposed of.

sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

alfiza