



2026:CGHC:18574

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPC No. 1933 of 2026**

Bisahat Deshlahara S/o Late Bharosaram Deshlahara, Aged About 62 Years R/o Village Chingri, Out Post Anda Tehsil- Durg, District -Durg C.G.

Petitioner(s)**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur District- Raipur C.G.

2 - Collector, District -Durg C.G.

3 - Sub Divisional Officer (R) Gramin Distt. Durg C.G.

4 - Naib Tahsildar, Village Chingri Distt. Durg. C.G.

5 - Patwari, Village Chingri, Distt. Durg C.G.

6 - Sarpanch, Gram Panchyat Village Chingri, Distt- Durg C.G.

Respondent(s)**(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)**

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Shivali Dubey, Advocate

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Amit Nayak, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad**Judgment on Board****23/04/2026**

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashment of the orders dated 06/06/2020, 23/02/2024, 05/06/2024, 07/11/2025, 04/12/2025, 11/12/2025 and 24/02/2026 passed by respondent No. 6. The petitioner has also prayed for issuance of a direction to the respondents to take appropriate action against the concerned persons responsible for demolishing the petitioner's house and to grant compensation for the same.



2. After arguing the matter for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the concerned authorities, so as to enable the petitioner to raise his grievances regarding the alleged illegalities committed by respondent Nos. 2 to 6 before the competent forum.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the pleadings and the documents appended thereto.

4. From the pleadings and documents available on record, it is apparent that the petitioner has raised construction over the land in question, despite the same not belonging to him. However, it is equally evident that while taking coercive action against the petitioner, the concerned authorities failed to adhere to the due process of law and proceeded to demolish the structure without following the prescribed procedure.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and upon consideration of the material placed on record, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition by granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent authorities for redressal of his grievances in accordance with law.

6. With the aforesaid liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge