

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**S.A. No. 626 of 2015**

- Vishakha Bai W/o Chandrabhan Sahu, Aged About 43 Years R/o Village Dulna, Tahsil Abhanpur, District Raipur, ChhattisgarhPlaintiff,

---- Appellant**Versus**

1. Bisouha Sahu S/o Harinath Sahu, Aged About 49 Years R/o Village Katalbod, P.S. Kurud, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh,
2. Santram Sahu S/o Harinath Sahu, Aged About 44 Years R/o Village Katalbod, P.S. Kurud, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh,
3. Yadram Sahu S/o Harinath Sahu, Aged About 46 Years R/o Village Navagaon Jhhura, P.S. Kurud, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh,
4. Priti Bai W/o Badrinath Sahu, Aged About 27 Years R/o Durgapara, Near Durga Mandir, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh,
5. Budhyarin Bai W/o Bishalu Ram Sahu, Aged About 60 Years R/o Village Dongitarai, Tahsil Abhanpur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh,
6. Damyanti Bai W/o Mohan Sahu, Aged About 47 Years R/o Village Dongitarai, Tahsil Abhanpur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh,
7. Keshar Bai W/o Goverdhan Sahu, Aged About 41 Years R/o Village Bhaistara, P.S. Rajim, District Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh, District : Gariyabandh, Chhattisgarh
8. Narayan Sahu S/o Mehtaru Sahu, Aged About 66 Years R/o Village Piperchhedhi, P.O. Kandol, P.S. And District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh,
9. Dhela Bai W/o Mahesh Sahu, Aged About 51 Years R/o Village Navapara, Bagdehipar, Tahsil Navapara, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh,
10. Jhuniya Bai W/o Shyam Lal Sahu, Aged About 71 Years R/o Village Chhoti Kareli, P.O. Megha, P.S. Magarlod, Tahsil Kurud, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh,
11. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Collector, Raipur, District Raipur, ChhattisgarhDefendants,

---- Respondents

None for the Appellant even when the case is called twice.

Shri Palash Agrawal, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri R. S. Patel, Advocate for Respondents No.1 to 3 & 6 to 10:

Shri Ayaz Naved, Govt. Advocate for the State/Respondent No.11.

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, J

Order On Board

14.02.2023

1. None appears nor any representation is made on behalf of the Appellant even when the case is called in the second round of hearing.
2. In view of above, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-
(Sanjay S. Agrawal)
JUDGE