



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 1698 of 2019

KU. DURGA PUJARI *versus* STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Order Sheet

23/06/2025	Mr. Jitendra Pali, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Pramod Shrivastava, Dy. G.A. for the State/ respondent No. 1. Mr. H.B. Agrawal, Senior Advocate along with Ms. Swati Agrawal, Advocate for the respondent No. 2. Heard on I.A. No. 4, 2025, application for amendment in return on behalf of respondent No. 2. Learned Senior counsel submits that by way of amendment, respondent No. 2 has described the procedure adopted by the authorities in ceiling proceeding. He contends that respondent No. 2 has placed certain documents also along with application to demonstrate its case. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner opposes.

Ajinkya	Taking into consideration the fact that petitioner has not proposed any new ground or fact, rather the procedure adopted by respondent No. 2 in ceiling proceeding has been elaborated by filing this application, therefore, I.A. No. 4/ 2025 stands allowed. Respondent No. 2 is permitted to carry out the necessary amendment within period of seven working days. Petitioner would be at liberty to move application for any consequential amendment, if so advised. Call this matter immediately thereafter. Sd/- (Rakesh Mohan Pandey) Judge
---------	---