

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

S.A. No. 517 of 2015

Sushila & Ors. Vs. Kripal Singh Thakur & Anr.

6/04/2016	Shri Vivek Bhakta, counsel for the appellants. Shri Sangarsh Pandey, Dy.G.A. for the State. Dasti notice service report is received wherein it is stated that respondent No.1 has refused to accept the notice. Consequently, considering the statement in the covering memo, service is deemed to be affected upon respondent No.1. Since it is an admitted appeal, list the case for final hearing. Since no reply is filed, heard on application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 of CPC. Photographs shows that construction bricks have been placed by respondent No.1 Kripal Singh Thakur. Since no representation is made on behalf of respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 deliberately appears to have avoided to accept the notice of this court, it is directed that respondent No.1 shall not further raise any superstructure over the suit property and compliance of the order, the order to be served through concerned Police Station. Sd/- (Goutam Bhaduri) JUDGE	
gm		