




HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

FA No. 296 of 2015

Executive Engineer CSPDCL & Anr **versus** Jeevan Lal Deshmukh &

Ors



21/01/2026	Mr. Aniket Verma, learned counsel for the appellants. Ms. Tanusha Pathak, learned counsel for the respondent No.1. Heard on <u>I.A. No.12/2025</u>, This is an application for withdrawal of applications filed under Order 22 Rule 3, under Order 22 Rule 9 of the CPC and under Section 5 of the Limitation Act. On due consideration and for the reasons mentioned in the application (I.A. No.12/2025), the same is allowed and ; <u>I.A. No.9/2025</u>, application under Order 22 Rule 3 of the CPC; <u>I.A. No.10/2025</u>, application under Order 22 Rule 9 read with Section 151 of CPC; and

Amardeep	<u>I.A. No.11/2025</u>, application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act are dismissed as withdrawn. Learned counsel for the proposed LR's of respondent No.1(a) accept the notice on application under Order 22 Rule 4 of CPC (I.A. No.13/2025), application under Order 22 Rule 9 r/w Section 151 of CPC (I.A. No.14/2025) and application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act (I.A. No.15/2025). She further submits that she has no objection on the said applications filed by the appellants. In view of above submission and after due consideration, I.A. No.13/2025, I.A. No.14/2025 and I.A. No.15/2025 are allowed. Learned counsel for the appellants may incorporate necessary impleadment in the memo of appeal within a period of ten days. As per office report, notice issued to respondent No.3 is unserved. The appellant is directed to make payment of fresh process fee for issuance of notice to the respondent No.3 within a period of ten days. List the matter after four weeks. SD/- (Bibhu Datta Guru) Judge
----------	---