



2026:CGHC:19828

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 3729 of 2026**

**1 - Shoukhi Lal Mahant S/o Bhagau Das Mahant Aged About 62 Years
Makri, Ghaghara, P.O. Ghaghra, District- Raigarh (C.G.) 496661**

... Petitioner**versus**

**1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, General Administration
Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Tehsil And
District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh- 492002**

**2 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Water Resource
Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Tehsil And
District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh- 492002**

**3 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Finance Department,
Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Tehsil And District-
Raipur, Chhattisgarh- 492002**

**4 - Joint Director Pension And Account Treasury Department, Bilaspur
Division, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh**

**5 - Engineer In Chief Water Resources Department, Sihawa Bhawan,
New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh**

**6 - Executive Engineer Kelo Project Survey Division, Raigarh, District-
Raigarh, Chhattisgarh**

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title is taken from CIS)

For Petitioner

: Ms. Kaushaki Kumari, Advocate
appears on behalf of Mr. Pushp Raj
Gupta, Advocate

For Respondent-State : Ms. Anuja Sharma, Dy G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

29/04/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who stood retired from the post of Truck Helper, initially appointed as daily wages employee and thereafter regularized, is entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioner. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. vs. State of C.G.**, in **W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021** decided on **30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners therein have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioner may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)** and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner expeditiously within specified time.
2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioner is not pressing this petition on merits and is only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.

4. Taking into consideration, the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioner to submit fresh representation before appropriate authority. In the event, petitioner submits representation, his claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of **three months** from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge