



2026:CGHC:26915

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
MCRC No. 3570 of 2026

Vikas Kurre S/o Late Sonu Kurre Aged About 19 Years Khamhardih, Shankar Nagar, Tahsil And District- Raipur (C.G.)

... Applicant**versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Station House Officer Of Police Station- Khamhardih, District – Raipur (C.G.)

---- Non-applicant

For Applicant	: Ms. Swati Verma, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	: Ms. Nupur Trivedi, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

01.07.2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 378/2025, registered at Police Station – Khamhardih, District – Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 25 of the Arms Act.
2. The case of the prosecution, is that the applicant was roaming near pond of village Khamhardih where Police arrested him because the Police found a knife from his possession. Thereafter, the FIR was registered against the applicant. Hence, this application.
3. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case and there are 6 criminal antecedents of the applicant out of which 5 have been

disposed of and one under the IPC is not unknown by the applicant. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. The applicant is in jail since 19.12.2025 and trial is likely to take quite long time for its conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that there are 6 criminal antecedents of the applicant, the charge-sheet has been filed in this case, and the applicant is not entitled for grant of bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant and the fact that there are 6 criminal antecedents of the applicant out of which 5 have been disposed of and one under the IPC is not unknown by the applicant, the charge-sheet has been filed in this case, the applicant is in jail since 19.12.2025 and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to allow this application.
7. Let applicant – **Vikas Kurre**, involved in Crime No. 378/2025, registered at Police Station – Khamhardih, District – Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 25 of the Arms Act, be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

- (i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates

fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice