



2026:CGHC:18580

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 3517 of 2026**

- 1** - Shiv Kumar Pandey S/o Narayan Prasad Pandey Aged About 62 Years
R/o Narayan Prasad Pandey, 269 Temri, Bemetara, District- Bemetara (C.G.)
- 2** - Pramila Bai W/o Late Panchuram Yadav Aged About 45 Years R/o Village
And Post Chuikhadan, Tikrapara Ward No. 11, Tahsil Chuikhadan District
Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
- 3** - Meena Devi Vaishnav W/o Late Munna Lal Vaishnav Aged About 69 Years
R/o House No. 76/02, Gautiyapara, Gram Navagaon, District - Bemetara
(C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)**Versus**

- 1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Water Resource
Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, New Raipur,
District- Raipur (C.G.)
- 2** - The Executive Engineer Water Resource Department, Raipur, Division,
District - Raipur (C.G.)
- 3** - The Joint Director, Treasury Accounts And Pension, Raipur Division,
District Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioners	:	Mr. A.D. Kuldeep, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. Avinash Singh, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge**ORDER ON BOARD****23.04.2026**



1. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that the petitioners who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employees, is entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioners. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. vs. State of C.G.**, in **W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021** decided on **30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners therein have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioners may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)** and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners expeditiously within specified time.
2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioners are not pressing this petition on merits and is only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration, the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioners to submit fresh representations. In the event, petitioners submits representation, their claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of **three months** from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE