



CGHC010147952025

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**FA No. 97 of 2025****SHAILENDRA KUMAR *versus* BUDHWAR SINGH****Order Sheet**

30/07/2026	Mr. Viprasen Agrawal, learned Advocate for the appellant. There is no representation on behalf of the respondent though served. Office report shows that notice issued to the respondent No. 1 returned unserved with a endorsement that “not residing at the given address.” Learned counsel for the appellant submits that respondent No. 1 has left his address before long ago and his present place of residence is not known to the appellant therefore, he prays to move proper application in this regard. Time as prayed for is granted. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the

appellant had filed a suit for specific performance of contract on the basis of the Agreement to Sell (Ex. P/1); however, the said suit was erroneously dismissed by the learned Trial Court. He further submits that, after the dismissal of the suit, respondent No. 2 initiated proceedings under Section 145 of the Code of Criminal Procedure before the concerned Sub-Divisional Magistrate. It is contended that if interim protection is not granted to the appellant, he will suffer adversely, as he has been in possession of the suit property since the date of execution of the Agreement to Sell.

On due consideration of the submission made by learned counsel for the appellant and also considering the contents of the Ex.P/1, we find it appropriate to direct the parties to maintain ***status-quo*** as it exists today with respect to the possession over the suit property till the next date of hearing.

Post this matter after three weeks.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

Judge

Sd/-

(Sachin Singh Rajput)

Judge