



2026:CGHC:26034



2026:CGHC:26034

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

MCRC No. 3641 of 2026

Bhupendra Kumar Sahu S/o Heeraman Sahu Aged About 27 Years R/o Datan, P.S.
Palari, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Kharora,
District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

(Cause title is taken from the CIS)

For Applicant : Mr. C.R. Sahu, Advocate
For State-Respondent : Ms. Nand Kumari Kashyap, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice Radhakishan Agrawal

Order on Board

25/06/2026

1. This is the **first** bail application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.825/2025, registered at Police Station Kharora, District- Raipur (CG) for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 351(2), 64(2)(m) of BNS and



Section 6 of POCSO Act.

2. As per prosecution case, the complainant has lodged report before the concerned police station that the victim was gone to any place without any information given to her parents, but she did not return the house and no any information has received about the prosecutrix to her relatives. On the basis of said report, police has registered the case against unknown person under Section 137(2) of BNS and after recovered the prosecutrix, the aforesaid offence was registered against the applicant.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant contended that the applicant is an innocent and has been falsely implicated in the said case. He further contended that there was delay in lodging the FIR and the applicant is in jail since 01.12.2025 and the trial will take considerable time for its conclusion, therefore, the applicant may be released on bail.
4. Learned State Counsel opposes the submission made by learned counsel for the applicant and would submit that at the time of incident, the victim was below 18 years of age and for proving her date of birth, admission/discharge register has also produced with the charge-sheet. She further contended that the victim has examined as PW-1 has clearly stated that the applicant has committed the offence.



5. Learned State counsel informed that notice has been served to the father of the victim, but no one appeared on behalf of the victim.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, material available on record and looking to the nature and gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant.
8. Accordingly, the bail application of the applicant stands **rejected**.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(Radhakishan Agrawal)
Judge

Vasant