



2026:CGHC:18486



2026:CGHC:18486

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CONT No. 546 of 2026

Kiran Negi W/o Jaiprakash Negi Aged About 49 Years Presently Working As Lecturer, Higher Secondary School Bagodar, Janpad Panchayat, Jila Panchayat, North Bastar, Dist.- Kanker (C.G.) R/o- House No. 1, Dhaba Para, Makdi, Post Makdikhuna, North Bastar, Dist.- Kanker (C.G.)

... Petitioner

versus

1 - Ritu Raj Raghuvarshi Director Presently Posted As Director, Directorate Of Public Instructions, Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Ramesh Kumar Nishad D.E.O. Presently Posted As The District Education Officer, North Bastar, District- Kanker (C.G.)

3 - Manjulata Prajapati Principal Presently Posted As Principal, Government Higher Secondary School, Village- Bagodar, Tehsil- Kanker, North Bastar District- Kanker (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Himanshu Kunjam, Advocate.
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr. Ujjawal Choubey, Advocate.

{Hon'ble Mr. Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi}

Order on Board

22/04/2026

1. Heard.



2. Alleging that the respondents have not complied with the orders and directions issued by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in W.P. (S) No. 1789 of 2026 dated 17.02.2026, the petitioner /complainant is before this court in this contempt petition.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that representation filed by the petitioner has been decided vide order 30.03.2026 passed by Deputy Collector, Directorate of Public Instructions, as such, the order under contempt has been complied with.
4. Learned counsel for respondent No. 1 also conceded aforesaid facts. He also filed copy of order dated 30.03.2026 passed by Deputy Collector, Directorate of Public Instructions.
5. Heard counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.
6. Copy of the order dated 30.03.2026 filed by counsel for respondent No. 1 is taken on record.
7. Thus, since order under contempt dated 17.02.2026 passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court in W.P. (S) No. 1789 of 2026 has been complied with, therefore, I do not find any reason to proceed further in the matter initiating contempt proceeding against the respondents.
8. In view of above, the contempt petition stands disposed of.
9. Accordingly, the contempt proceedings initiated against the respondents is dropped.

Sd/-

(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge