



2026:CGHC:18282

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 1782 of 2026

1 - Prabhat Sagar S/o Late Shri Laxminarayan Dewangan Aged About 70 Years R/o- Jamunipali Darri, District Korba (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur (C.G.).

2 - The Collector, Bilaspur, District-Bilaspur (C.G.).

3 - The Tehsildar Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.).

4 - The Additional Tehsildar Bilaspur, District-Bilaspur (C.G.).

5 - The Revenue Inspector Sirgitti, Tehsil- Bilaspur And District Bilaspur (C.G.).

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ashutosh Shukla, Advocate.

For Respondent(s)/State : Mr. Amit Nayak, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

22/04/2026

1. By way of this petition, the petitioner has prayed for following reliefs:-

"10.1 That the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to pass an order directing, the Respondent No 03 & 05 to



immediately complete the demarcation and submit its report with regard to land Khasra No 621/69 Area 1250 Sq Ft situated at P.H No 40 Tifra Near Ring Road Maharana Pratap Chowk Bilaspur (C.G).

10.2 Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem and proper in the present circumstances of the case."

2. Brief facts of the case, is that the petitioner is the owner of land bearing Khasra No. 621/69, admeasuring 1250 square feet, situated at Patwari Halka No. 40, Mauja Tifra, near Ring Road, Maharana Pratap Chowk, Bilaspur; that despite the lapse of 7 to 8 months, the demarcation of the said land has neither been completed nor has any demarcation report been prepared or supplied to the petitioner; that the petitioner, being a senior citizen, has been compelled to run from pillar to post and has submitted several representations before the concerned authorities seeking demarcation of his land; that although a team comprising the Revenue Inspector and the Patwari has already been constituted for the said purpose, no effective action has been taken and the demarcation report has still not been furnished to the petitioner; hence, the present petition.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an application for demarcation of the land in dispute has been filed before the concerned Additional Tahsildar, Bilaspur on 21.5.2025, however, till date it has not been decided and as such the petitioner has filed for a limited direction that the concerned respondent



authorities may be directed to decide the same expeditiously.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that he is having no objection with regard to limited prayer sought by the petitioner.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and further considering the fact that that application was filed way back on 21.5.2025, the concerned Additional Tahsildar, Bilaspur is directed to decide the same expeditiously in accordance with law after giving due opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties.
7. With this observation and direction, the writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Raghu Jat