



2026:CGHC:18506



2026:CGHC:18506

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**CONT No. 547 of 2026**

1 - Sushri Madhu Saraf D/o. Late Bhagwat Prasad Saraf Aged About 53 Years R/o. Nehru Chowk Sadar Raod Balodabazar District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

2 - Smt. Manju D/o. Late Bhagwat Prasad Saraf Aged About 60 Years W/o. Rajiv Koushal R/o. Nehru Chowk Sadar Raod Balodabazar District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G) At Present Delhi 110001

3 - Smt. Arti D/o. Late Bhagwat Prasad Saraf Aged About 54 Years W/o. Sanjay Kumar Sundarlal Soni, R/o. Nehru Chowk Sadar Road Balodabazar District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.) At Present M/g-1/997, Hudko Sector, Bhilai District- Durg (C.G.)

4 - Smt. Anju D/o. Late Bhagwat Prasad Saraf Aged About 56 Years W/o. Rakesh Kumar Matabadal, R/o. Nehru Chowk Sadar Raod Balodabazar District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.) At Present Churi Line Gole Bazar Raipur District- Raipur (C.G.)

5 - Smt. Mamta D/o. Late Bhagwat Prasad Saraf Aged About 58 Years W/o. Rajendra Gupta, R/o. Nehru Chowk Sadar Road, Balodabazar District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.) At Present Plot No. 7, Street No. 11, Behind Shakti Bhawan, Ashish Nagar West Risali Bhilai District- Durg (C.G.)

6 - Smt. Anuradha D/o. Late Bhagwat Prasad Saraf Aged About 62 Years W/o. Raviprakash Soni, R/o. Nehru Chowk Sadar Road Balodabazar District-



Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.) At Present 402/b Samridhi Nagar Apartment
Amlidih Road Raipur Distric- Raipur (C.G.)

... **Petitioners**

versus

Prashant Kumar Bhaskar Additional Judge Of 1st Civil Judge Senior Class
Balodabazar District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

... **Respondent**

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Sandeep Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For Respondent	:	None

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order On Board

23.04.2026

1. Petitioners have filed this contempt petition alleging willful disobedience of the respondent, stating that in a writ petition bearing WP(227) No. 690 of 2025 vide order dated 24.07.2025 High Court has directed to conclude execution proceedings expeditiously in the light of decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court as mentioned therein, however, even after lapse of about nine months the proceedings have not been concluded.
2. Perusal of the order sheets of executing Court which is placed on record does not mention that counsel representing petitioners before the executing Court or petitioners themselves have brought to the notice of order passed by the High Court. As in the order sheet placed before this Court there is no such statement of counsel for the decree holder.



3. In the aforementioned facts of the case, I am not inclined to entertain this contempt petition, accordingly, it is **dismissed**. However, petitioners will be at liberty to submit an application stating the fact about the passing of order of High Court along with the copy of the same.

sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)

Judge

alfiza