



## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

**WPS No. 3256 of 2026**

SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA **versus** STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

### **Order Sheet**

|                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>16/04/2026</b> | <p>Mr. Rudra Pratap Dubey, Counsel for petitioner.</p> <p>Mr. Shahil Singh, PL for the respondent-State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents.</p> <p>Mr. Shahil Singh, P.L. accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Hence, PF is not required to be paid. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>Also heard I.A. No. 01 of 2026, an application for grant of interim relief.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in exercise of the powers of review, the authority had set aside the order of punishment passed by the Inspector General of Police and directed the initiation of a departmental enquiry against the petitioner. Before passing such an order, no</p> |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|              | <p>opportunity of hearing was given to the petitioner.</p> <p>Counsel for the State submits that he will file reply to this application also.</p> <p>On due consideration of submission of counsel for the parties, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that the proceedings of the departmental enquiry pursuant to the order Annexure-P/1 shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing.</p> <p>List this case after four weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Parth Prateem Sahu)</b><br/>Judge</p> |
| Ravi Mandavi |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |